arXiv:0808.2553v1 [math.RA] 19 Aug 2008

A bicategorical version of Masuoka's theorem. Applications to bimodules over functor categories and to firm bimodules*

J. Gómez-Torrecillas
Departamento de Álgebra
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad de Granada
E18071 Granada, España
e-mail: gomezj@ugr.es

B. Mesablishvili
Mathematical Institute
Georgian Academy of Sciences
Alexidze Str. 1,
0193 Tbilisi, Georgia
e-mail: bachi@rmi.acnet.ge

Abstract

We give a bicategorical version of the main result of A. Masuoka (Corings and invertible bimodules, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **13** (1989), 353–362) which proposes a non-commutative version of the fact that for a faithfully flat extension of commutative rings $R \subseteq S$, the relative Picard group $Pic(S/R)$ is isomorphic to the Amitsur 1–cohomology group $H^1(S/R, U)$ with coefficients in the units functor U.

Introduction

In [17], A. Masuoka proposed a non-commutative version of the fact that for a faithfully flat extension of commutative rings $R \subseteq S$, the relative Picard group $Pic(S/R)$ is isomorphic to the Amitsur 1–cohomology group $H^1(S/R, U)$ with coefficients in the units functor U. In the classical faithfully flat descent setting, each element of $Pic(S/R)$ is represented by an R–subbimodule J of S such that $S \otimes_R J \cong S$, while the elements of $H^1(S/R, U)$ may be interpreted as the grouplike elements of the canonical Sweedler's coring $S \otimes_R S$ or, equivalently, as the endomorphisms of $S \otimes_R S$ as an S–coring. For a general extension of rings $R \subseteq S$ (unital, but possibly noncommutative), Masuoka's theorem asserts that, under suitable conditions (e.g., S_R faithfully flat), there exists an isomorphism of monoids $\Gamma : \mathbf{I}^l_R(S) \to \mathrm{End}_{S-cor}(S \otimes_R S)$, where the first one is the monoid of all R–subbimodules J of S such that $S \otimes_R J \cong S$, and the second one consists of all endomorphisms of the

---

*This research is supported by the grants MTM2004-01406 and MTM2007-61673 from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain, and P06-FQM-01889 from the Consejería de Innovación, Ciencia y Empresa of Andalucía, with funds from FEDER (Unión Europea)

coring $S \otimes_R S$. A more general version was given in [7], where the ring extension $R \subseteq S$ is replaced by an $R-S$–bimodule M such that M_S is finitely generated and projective, and the role of the Sweedler canonical coring is now played by the comatrix coring associated to M. In this setting it has been proved in [19] that Masuoka's theorem is valid under the condition of comonadicity of the functor $-\otimes_R M$ from right R–modules to right S–modules. These generalizations, specially the second one, required of arguments of categorical nature. Thus, we wonder to what extent a purely categorical version of Masuoka's theorem was possible. This paper collects the results of our research on this idea.

In fact, what we prove is a bicategorical version of Masuoka's theorem, which is rather natural, since both the original result, and the aforementioned generalizations, can be formulated in the bicategory of bimodules. Of course, passing from this particular bicategory to a general one requires to overcome many technical difficulties, as well as new viewpoints on the objects involved. In order to make accessible our arguments to readers coming from different fields, we include rather detailed proofs.

Our generalization of Masuoka's theorem works over an arbitrary bicategory. Thus, it can be potentially applied to many particular situations of interest. We have chosen to illustrate how the general theory works by applying it to two situations close to the case of bimodules over unital rings, namely, the bicategory of bimodules over linear categories of functors and the bicategory of firm bimodules.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we consider the comonad generated by a general pair of adjoint functors and we study the relationship between the possible coalgebra structures over a given object and some subobjects of its image under the right adjoint functor. When the left adjoint functor is comonadic, the aforementioned relationship takes the form of a bijection, which turns out to be fundamental for our purposes (Proposition 1.9).

Section 2 is the core of the paper. After recalling some basic notions related to bicategories and monoidal categories, which serves as well to fix our notations, we proceed to define the monoid (semigroup with unit) $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ associated to a monoid $\mathbb{S}$ in a monoidal category $\mathcal{V}$ (Proposition 2.5). When $\mathcal{V}$ is the monoidal category of bimodules over a ring R, the monoid $\mathbb{S}$ is given by a homomorphism of rings $R \to S$, and, in the faithfully flat case, $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ turns out to be isomorphic to the monoid $\mathbf{I}^l_R(S)$ considered by Masuoka (see Section 4). We make then explicit in Proposition 2.8 that the study of $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ can be already done in the strict case. Equipped with these tools, we proceed to the construction of our generalization of Masuoka's theorem in the framework of an arbitrary bicategory $\mathbb{B}$. We consider an adjunction $f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{B}$, the monad (or $\mathcal{A}$–ring) $S^{\mathbb{B}}_f$, and the comonad (or $\mathcal{B}$–coring) $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f$ built from the adjunction (this is the general form of a comatrix coring), and we prove (Theorem 2.17) that if the functor $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f) : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is comonadic, then it is possible to define an isomorphism of monoids $\Gamma_f : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S^{\mathbb{B}}_f) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f, \mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f)$, where the latter stands for the monoid of endomorphisms of the $\mathcal{B}$–coring $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f$. Our strategy to obtain this isomorphism is to prove it first in the case of 2–categories and then deduce the general case. Full details on this nontrivial process are provided.

In Section 3 we investigate sufficient conditions on the 1–cell f that imply the comonadicity of the functor $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f)$, and thus the existence of the isomorphism of monoids Γ_f. The nature of these conditions is flatness and purity (Proposition 3.2) or separability (Proposition 3.7).

In Section 4 we apply our general results to bicategories of generalized bimodules. First, we consider the framework of K–linear functor categories, where K is a commutative ring. These categories are of interest in Representation Theory of Algebras. We construct a bicategory **Bim** of bimodules whose 0–cells are small K–linear categories. In this setting, we obtain generalizations of the Masuoka Theorem which boil down, in the case of 0–cells of one object (rings) to the results in [7] and [19]. In particular, we prove that, in the precomonadic case, the elements of $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f)$ can be represented by $\mathcal{A}$–subbimodules of S_f, according to what happens to the case of usual rings and bimodules in [7]. The second bicategory to which our general results are applied is **Firm**, whose 0–cells and 1–cells are, respectively, firm rings and firm bimodules over them. Firm rings and modules where considered by D. Quillen in [22] in connection with the excision problem in homology. We prove that **Firm** is a biclosed bicategory, which allow the characterization of those 1–cells that have a right adjoint. We get then a version of Masuoka's theorem for firm bimodules which admit right adjoint in **Firm**.

1 Subobjects and coalgebra structures

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a category and let $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. We write $\mathrm{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0)$ for the class of all monomorphisms with codomain a_0. Recall that monomorphisms $i_a : a \to a_0$ in $\mathcal{A}$ are pre-ordered by setting $i_a \leq i_{a'}$ if $i_a = i_{a'}k$ for some $k : a \to a'$; two such monomorphisms are equivalent if $i_a \leq i_{a'}$ and $i_{a'} \leq i_a$ (i.e., if there exists an isomorphism $f : a \to a'$ with $i_a = i_{a'}f$), and the equivalence classes are called subobjects of a_0. If $i_a : a \to a_0$ is a monomorphism in $\mathcal{A}$, we write $[i_a]$ for the corresponding subobject of a_0. We will say that an object x of $\mathcal{A}$ is a subobject of a_0 if there is a monomorphism $x \to a_0$. We let $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0)$ denote the class of subobjects of a_0.

If $\eta, \epsilon : F \dashv U : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ is an adjunction with adjunction isomorphism

$$\alpha_{x,y} : \mathcal{A}(x, U(y)) \to \mathcal{B}(F(x), y)$$

and if $b_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, write $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(U(b_0))$ for the subclass of $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(U(b_0))$ whose elements are those subobjects $[i_a : a \to U(b_0)]$ for which the morphism

$$\xi^F_{i_a} = \alpha_{a,b_0}(i_a) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot F(i_a) : F(a) \to b_0$$

is an isomorphism. Note that $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(U(b_0))$ is well- defined: if $[i_a] = [i_{a'}]$ in $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(U(b_0))$, then there exists an isomorphism $f : a \to a'$ such that $i_a \cdot f = i_{a'}$, and one has

$$\xi^F_{i_{a'}} = \alpha_{a',b_0}(i_{a'}) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot F(i_{a'}) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot F(i_a) \cdot F(f) = \alpha_{a,b_0}(i_a) \cdot F(f) = \xi^F_{i_a} \cdot F(f),$$

and hence $\xi^F_{i_{a'}} = \alpha_{a',b_0}(i_{a'})$ is an isomorphism too. We will generally drop the $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. F) from the notation $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(U(b_0))$ (resp. $\xi^F_{i_a}$) when there is no danger of confusion.

We now fix an adjunction $\eta, \epsilon : F \dashv U : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ with adjunction isomorphism

$$\alpha_{x,y} : \mathcal{A}(x, U(y)) \to \mathcal{B}(F(x), y)$$

and an object $b_0 \in \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose that F is conservative (=isomorphism reflecting). If $[i_a]$ and $[i_{a'}]$ are elements of $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(U(b_0))$ such that $i_a \leq i_{a'}$ in $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(U(b_0))$, then $[i_a] = [i_{a'}]$.*

Proof. Since $i_a \leq i_{a'}$ in $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(U(b_0))$, there exists a morphism $f : a \to a'$ such that $i_a = i_{a'} \cdot f$, and then we have

$$\xi^F_{i_{a'}} \cdot F(f) = \alpha_{a',b_0} \cdot (i_{a'}) \cdot F(f) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot F(i_{a'}) \cdot F(f) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot F(i_{a'} f) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot U(i_a) = \alpha_{a,b_0}(i_a) = \xi^F_{i_a}.$$

It follows that $F(f)$ is an isomorphism and thus f is also an isomorphism since F is assumed to be conservative. Thus $[i_a] = [i_{a'}]$. $\square$

In order to proceed, we need the following

Lemma 1.2. *A morphism $f : F(a) \to F(a')$ is an isomorphism if and only if the morphism $U(f) : UF(a) \to UF(a')$ is so.*

Proof. One direction is clear, so suppose that $U(f) : UF(a) \to UF(a')$ is an isomorphism. In the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FUFUF(a) \arrow[r, shift left, "FU\varepsilon_{F(a)}"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\varepsilon_{FUF(a)}"'] \arrow[d, "FUFU(f)"'] & FUF(a) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_{F(a)}"] \arrow[d, "FU(f)"] & F(a) \arrow[d, "f"] \\
FUFUF(a') \arrow[r, shift left, "FU\varepsilon_{F(a')}"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\varepsilon_{FUF(a')}"'] & FUF(a') \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_{F(a')}"'] & F(a'),
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is commutative by naturality of ε, each row is a (split) coequalizer (see [16]), implying -since $FUFU(f)$ and $FU(f)$ are isomorphisms- that f is an isomorphism. $\square$

Let us write $\mathbf{T}$ for the monad on $\mathcal{A}$ generated by the adjunction $F \dashv U : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$, $\eta^{\mathbf{T}}, \varepsilon^{\mathbf{T}} : F^{\mathbf{T}} \dashv U^{\mathbf{T}} : \mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{T}} \to \mathcal{A}$ for the corresponding free-forgetful adjunction and consider an arbitrary object a_0 of $\mathcal{A}$. Since $UF = U^{\mathbf{T}}F^{\mathbf{T}}$, clearly $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(UF(a_0)) = \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(U^{\mathbf{T}}F^{\mathbf{T}}(a_0))$.

Proposition 1.3. *For any $[(a, i_a)] \in \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(UF(a_0))$, $[(a, i_a)] \in \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(UF(a_0))$ if and only if $[(a, i_a)] \in \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F^{\mathbf{T}}}(U^{\mathbf{T}}(a_0))$.*

Proof. Writing $K^{\mathbf{T}} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{T}}$ for the comparison functor, one sees -since $K^{\mathbf{T}}F = F^{\mathbf{T}}$ and $\varepsilon^{\mathbf{T}}K^{\mathbf{T}} = K^{\mathbf{T}}\varepsilon$ (see, [16])- that the morphism

$$\xi^{F^{\mathbf{T}}}_{i_a} : F^{\mathbf{T}}(a) \xrightarrow{F^{\mathbf{T}}(i_a)} F^{\mathbf{T}}U^{\mathbf{T}}F^{\mathbf{T}}(a_0) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{\mathbf{T}}_{F^{\mathbf{T}}(a_0)}} F^{\mathbf{T}}(a_0)\ ,$$

can be rewritten as the composite

$$K^{\mathbf{T}}F(a) \xrightarrow{K^{\mathbf{T}}F(i_a)} K^{\mathbf{T}}FUF(a_0) \xrightarrow{K^{\mathbf{T}}\varepsilon_{F(a_0)}} K^{\mathbf{T}}F(a_0)\ .$$

It is now easy to see that

$$U^{\mathbf{T}}(\xi_{i_a}^{F^{\mathbf{T}}}) = U\varepsilon_{F(a_0)} \cdot UF(i_a) = U(\varepsilon_{F(a_0)} \cdot F(i_a)) = U(\xi_{i_a}^{F}).$$

Applying now Lemma 1.2 we get that $\xi_{i_a}^{F}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $U^{\mathbf{T}}(\xi_{i_a}^{F^{\mathbf{T}}})$ (and hence $\xi_{i_a}^{F^{\mathbf{T}}}$, since $U^{\mathbf{T}}$ preserves and reflects isomorphisms) is so. □

As an easy consequence, we have

Proposition 1.4. *In the situation of Proposition 1.3,*

$$\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(UF(a_0)) = \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F^{\mathbf{T}}}(U^{\mathbf{T}}F^{\mathbf{T}}(a_0)).$$

Given an arbitrary monad $\mathbf{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and an object $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$, we write $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},\mathbf{T}}(T(a_0))$ for the subclass of $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(T(a_0))$ whose elements are those subobjects $[(a, i_a)]$ for which the composite

$$\xi_{i_a}^{\mathbf{T}} : T(a) \xrightarrow{T(i_a)} T^2(a_0) \xrightarrow{\mu_{a_0}} T(a_0)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proposition 1.5. (i) *Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a monad on a category $\mathcal{A}$. If $f : a_0 \to a_0'$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{A}$, then the assignment*

$$(i_a : a \to a_0) \longrightarrow (fi_a : a \to a_0')$$

yields a bijection

$$\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},\mathbf{T}}(T(a_0)) \simeq \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},\mathbf{T}}(T(a_0')).$$

(ii) *Let $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}'$ be monads on a category $\mathcal{A}$. If $\tau : \mathbf{T} \to \mathbf{T}'$ is an isomorphism of monads, then for any $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$, the assignment*

$$[(a, i_a : a \to T(a_0))] \longrightarrow [(a, \tau_{a_0} \cdot i_a : a \to T'(a_0))]$$

yields a bijection

$$\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},\mathbf{T}}(T(a_0)) \simeq \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},\mathbf{T}'}(T'(a_0)).$$

Proposition 1.6. *For any monad $\mathbf{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and an object $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},\mathbf{T}}(T(a_0)) = \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F^{\mathbf{T}}}(U^{\mathbf{T}}(a_0)),$$

where $F^{\mathbf{T}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{T}}$ is the free $\mathbf{T}$-algebra functor.

Proof. Since the forgetful functor $U^{\mathbf{T}} : \mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{T}} \to \mathcal{A}$ preserves and reflects isomorphisms, the morphism $\xi_{i_a}^{F^{\mathbf{T}}}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $U^{\mathbf{T}}(\xi_{i_a}^{F^{\mathbf{T}}})$ is so. But since $U^{\mathbf{T}}F^{\mathbf{T}} = T$, it is easy to check that $U^{\mathbf{T}}(\xi_{i_a}^{F^{\mathbf{T}}}) = \xi_{i_a}^{\mathbf{T}}$, proving that $\xi_{i_a}^{F^{\mathbf{T}}}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\xi_{i_a}^{\mathbf{T}}$ is so. □

Combining this with Proposition 1.4, we get

Proposition 1.7. *Let* $\mathbf{T} = (UF, \eta, U\varepsilon F)$ *be the monad corresponding to the adjunction* $\eta, \varepsilon : F \dashv U : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$*, then for any object* $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$*,*

$$\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},\mathbf{T}}(T(a_0)) = \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(UF(a_0)) = \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F^{\mathbf{T}}}(U^{\mathbf{T}}F^{\mathbf{T}}(a_0)).$$

Let $\mathbf{G} = (G = FU, \varepsilon_{\mathbf{G}} = \varepsilon, \delta_{\mathbf{G}} = F\eta U)$ be the comonad on $\mathcal{B}$ generated by the adjunction $F \dashv U$, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{G}}$ the corresponding Eilenberg-Moore category of $\mathbf{G}$-coalgebras, $U_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{G}} \to \mathcal{B}$ the forgetful functor, and $K_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{G}}$ the comparison functor that takes an arbitrary object $a \in \mathcal{A}$ to the $\mathbf{G}$-coalgebra $(F(a), F(\eta_a))$. We write $\mathbf{G}$-coalg(b_0) for the set of all $\mathbf{G}$-coalgebra structures on b_0.

Proposition 1.8. *The assignment*

$$[(a, i_a)] \longrightarrow (b_0 \xrightarrow{\xi_a^{-1}} F(a) \xrightarrow{F(\eta_a)} FUF(a) \xrightarrow{FU(\xi_a)} FU(b_0) = G(b_0))$$

defines a map

$$\Psi_{F,b_0} : \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(U(b_0)) \to \mathbf{G}\text{–}\mathit{coalg}(b_0).$$

Proof. Let $[(a, i_a)] \in \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(U(b_0))$. We have to show that $(\Psi_{F,b_0}((a, \xi_a))$ is a $\mathbf{G}$-coalgebra morphism -to be replaced by) $\Psi_{F,b_0}(a, \xi_a) \in \mathbf{G}$–coalg$(b_0)$. First we prove that $\varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot \Psi_{F,b_0}((a, \xi_a)) = 1$. Using the naturality of $\varepsilon : FU \to 1$ and the triangular identity $\varepsilon_{F(a)} \cdot F(\eta_a) = 1_{F(a)}$ for the adjunction $\eta, \epsilon : F \dashv U$, we see that

$$\varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot \Psi_{F,b_0}((a, \xi_a)) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot FU(\xi_a) \cdot F(\eta_a) \cdot \xi_a^{-1} =$$

$$= \xi_a \cdot \varepsilon_{F(a)} \cdot F(\eta_a) \cdot \xi_a^{-1} = \xi_a \cdot 1_{F(a)} \cdot \xi_a^{-1} = \xi_a \cdot \xi_a^{-1} = 1_{b_0}.$$

Next, we have to prove that

$$(\delta_{\mathbf{G}})_{b_0} \cdot \Psi_{F,b_0}((a, \xi_a)) = G(\Psi_{F,b_0}((a, \xi_a))) \cdot \Psi_{F,b_0}((a, \xi_a)).$$

Since $\eta : 1 \to UF$ is a natural transformation, the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
a \arrow[r, "\eta_a"] \arrow[d, "\eta_a"'] & UF(a) \arrow[d, "UF(\eta_a)"] & \text{and} & UF(a) \arrow[r, "\eta_{UF(a)}"] \arrow[d, "U(\xi_a)"'] & UFUF(a) \arrow[d, "UFU(\xi_a)"] \\
UF(a) \arrow[r, "\eta_{UF(a)}"'] & UFUF(a) & & U(b_0) \arrow[r, "\eta_{U(b_0)}"'] & UFU(b)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute. Hence

$$FUF(\eta_a)\cdot F(\eta_a) = F(\eta_{UF(a)})\cdot F(\eta_a) \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$FUFU(\xi_a)\cdot F(\eta_{UF(a)}) = F(\eta_{U(b_0)})\cdot FU(\xi_a). \tag{1.2}$$

We now have:

$$\begin{gathered}
G(\Psi_{F,b_0}((a,\xi_a)))\cdot\Psi_{F,b_0}((a,\xi_a)) = \\
= FUFU(\xi_a)\cdot FUF(\eta_a)\cdot FU(\xi_a^{-1})\cdot FU(\xi_a)\cdot F(\eta_a)\cdot\xi_a^{-1} = \\
= FUFU(\xi_a)\cdot FUF(\eta_a)\cdot F(\eta_a)\cdot\xi_a^{-1} = \quad \text{by (1)} \\
= FUFU(\xi_a)\cdot F(\eta_{UF(a)})\cdot F(\eta_a)\cdot\xi_a^{-1} = \quad \text{by (2)} \\
= F(\eta_{U(b_0)})\cdot FU(\xi_a)\cdot F(\eta_a)\cdot\xi_a^{-1} = \\
= F(\eta_{U(b_0)})\cdot\Psi_{F,b_0}((a,\xi_a)) = \\
(\text{since} \quad (\delta_{\mathbf{G}})_{b_0} = F(\eta_{U(b_0)}) \quad \text{by definition of} \quad \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \\
= (\delta_{\mathbf{G}})_{b_0}\cdot\Psi_{F,b_0}((a,\xi_a)).
\end{gathered}$$

Suppose now that $\llbracket a,\xi_a\rrbracket = [(a',\xi_{a'})]$ in $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(U(b_0))$. Then there exists an isomorphism $f : a \to a'$ such that $i_{a'}f = i_a$, and thus $\xi_{a'}F(f) = \xi_a$. Then, since $\eta : 1 \to UF$ is a natural transformation, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
b_0 \arrow[r, "\xi_a^{-1}"] \arrow[dr, "\xi_{a'}^{-1}"'] & F(a) \arrow[r, "F(\eta_a)"] & FUF(a) \arrow[r, "FU(\xi_a)"] & FU(b_0) \arrow[d, equal] \\
 & F(a') \arrow[u, "F(f^{-1})"'] \arrow[r, "F(\eta_{a'})"'] & FUF(a_1) \arrow[u, "FUF(f^{-1})"'] \arrow[r, "FU(\xi_{a'})"'] & FUF(b_0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. It follows that

$$\Psi_{F,b_0}([(a,i_a)]) = FU(\xi_a)\cdot F(\eta_a)\cdot\xi_a^{-1} =$$

$$= FU(\xi_{a'})\cdot F(\eta_{a'})\cdot\xi_{a'}^{-1} = \Psi_{F,b_0}([(a',i_{a'})]).$$

Thus, Ψ_{F,b_0} is well-defined. □

Recall that F is called (pre)comonadic if the comparison functor $K_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{G}}$ is an equivalence of categories (full and faithful). We are ready to state the main result of this section.

Proposition 1.9. *If F is comonadic, the map*

$$\Psi_{F,b_0} : \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(U(b_0)) \to \mathbf{G}\text{-}\mathit{coalg}(b_0)$$

is bijective.

Proof. We first show that Ψ_{F,b_0} is injective. Indeed, suppose that $\Psi_{F,b_0}([\![a, i_a]\!]) = \Psi_{F,b_0}([\![a', i_{a'}]\!])$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & F(a) \arrow[r, "F(\eta_a)"] & FUF(a) \arrow[dr, "FU(\xi_a)"] & \\
b_0 \arrow[ur, "\xi_a^{-1}"] \arrow[dr, "\xi_{a'}^{-1}"'] & & & FU(b_0) \\
 & F(a') \arrow[r, "F(\eta_{a'})"'] & FUF(a') \arrow[ur, "FU(\xi_{a'})"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. It follows that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(a) \arrow[r, "F(\eta_a)"] \arrow[d, "\xi_{a'}^{-1}\cdot\xi_a"'] & FUF(a) \arrow[d, "FU(\xi_{a'}^{-1}\cdot\xi_a)"] \\
F(a') \arrow[r, "F(\eta_{a'})"'] & FUF(a').
\end{tikzcd}$$

But to say that this diagram commutes is just to say that $\xi_{a'}^{-1} \cdot \xi_a : F(a) \to F(a')$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{G}}$ from the $\mathbf{G}$-coalgebra $K_{\mathbf{G}}(a) = (F(a), F(\eta_a))$ to the $\mathbf{G}$-coalgebra $K_{\mathbf{G}}(a') = (F(a'), F(\eta_{a'}))$ and since the functor F is assumed to be comonadic, the functor $K_{\mathbf{G}}$ is an equivalence of categories and thus there exits a morphism $f : a \to a'$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $F(f) = \xi_{a'}^{-1} \cdot \xi_a$, whence $\xi_a = \xi_{a'} \cdot F(f)$. It follows that $F(f)$ is an isomorphism and hence so also is f, since F is comonadic and any comonadic functor reflects isomorphisms. Moreover, since $\alpha_{a,b_0}(i_a) = \xi_{i_a} = \xi_{i_{a'}} \cdot F(f) = \alpha_{a',b_0}(i_{a'}) \cdot F(f) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot F(i_{a'}) \cdot F(f) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot F(i_{a'} \cdot f) = \alpha_{a,b_0}(i_{a'} \cdot f)$ and since α_{a,b_0} is bijective, one concludes that $i_{a'} f = i_a$. Thus, $[\![a, i_a]\!] = [\![a', i_{a'}]\!]$, i.e., Ψ_{F,b_0} is injective.

Suppose now that $\theta_{b_0} : b_0 \to G(b_0)$ is such that $(b_0, \theta_{b_0}) \in \mathbf{G}$−coalg$(b_0)$, and consider $\tilde{b_0} = R_{\mathbf{G}}(b_0, \theta_{b_0})$, where $R_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{G}} \to \mathcal{A}$ is the right adjoint of the comparison functor $K_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{G}}$. It is well known (see [16]) that $\tilde{b_0}$ appears as the equalizer

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{b}_0 \arrow[r, "e_{\tilde{b}_0}"] & U(b_0) \arrow[r, shift left, "U(\theta_{b_0})"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\eta_{U(b_0)}"'] & UFU(b_0).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence $(\tilde{b_0}, e_{\tilde{b}_0}) \in \mathrm{Sub}_{\mathcal{A}}(U(b_0))$. If $\varepsilon_1 : K_{\mathbf{G}}R_{\mathbf{G}} \to 1$ is the counit of the adjunction $K_{\mathbf{G}} \dashv R_{\mathbf{G}}$ (which is an isomorphism, since F is assumed to be comonadic), then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(\tilde{b}_0) \arrow[r, "F(e_{\tilde{b}_0})"] \arrow[d, "(\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})}"'] & FU(b_0) \\
b_0, \arrow[ur, "\theta_{b_0}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $(\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})}$ denotes the (b_0, θ_{b_0})-component of ε_1, commutes (see, for example, [3]). It follows that

$$\theta_{b_0} = F(e_{\tilde{b}_0}) \cdot ((\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\,\theta_{b_0})})^{-1} \tag{1.3}$$

and that

$$\varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot F(e_{\tilde{b}_0}) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot \theta_{b_0} \cdot (\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})} = (\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})}. \tag{1.4}$$

Hence $\xi_{e_{\tilde{b}_0}} = \alpha_{\tilde{b}_0,b_0}(e_{\tilde{b}_0}) = \varepsilon_{b_0} \cdot F(e_{\tilde{b}_0}) = (\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})}$ is an isomorphism. It follows that the assignment

$$(b_0, \theta_{b_0}) \to [(\tilde{b}_0, e_{\tilde{b}_0})]$$

defines a map

$$\overline{\Psi}_{F,b_0} : \mathbf{G}\text{-coalg}(b_0)) \to \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{A},F}(U(b_0)).$$

We now claim that $\Psi_{F,b_0} \cdot \bar{\Psi}_{F,b_0} = 1$. Indeed, we have

$$\Psi_{F,b_0}(\bar{\Psi}_{F,b_0}(b_0, \theta_{b_0}))) = \Psi_{F,b_0}(\tilde{b}_0, e_{\tilde{b}_0}) = FU(\xi_{e_{\tilde{b}_0}}) \cdot F(\eta_{\tilde{b}_0}) \cdot (\xi_{e_{\tilde{b}_0}})^{-1} =$$

$$= FU((\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})}) \cdot F(\eta_{\tilde{b}_0}) \cdot ((\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})})^{-1} = \quad \text{by } (4)$$

$$= FU(\varepsilon_{b_0}) \cdot FUF(e_{\tilde{b}_0}) \cdot F(\eta_{\tilde{b}_0}) \cdot ((\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})})^{-1} =$$

$$\text{(by the naturality of } \eta)$$

$$= FU(\varepsilon_{b_0}) \cdot F(\eta_{U(b_0)}) \cdot F(e_{\tilde{b}_0}) \cdot ((\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})})^{-1} =$$

$$= F(U(\varepsilon_{b_0})) \cdot \eta_{U(b_0))} \cdot F(e_{\tilde{b}_0}) \cdot ((\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})})^{-1} =$$

$$\text{(by the triangular identity)}$$

$$= F(e_{\tilde{b}_0}) \cdot ((\varepsilon_1)_{(b_0,\theta_{b_0})})^{-1} = \quad \text{by } (3)$$

$$= \theta_{b_0}.$$

So that $\Psi_{F,b_0} \cdot \bar{\Psi}_{F,b_0} = 1$, and since Ψ_{F,b_0} is injective, it is bijective. This completes the proof. □

We close this section showing that Proposition 1.9 might be viewed as a categorification of [21, Theorem 1.2].

Example 1.10. Let $R \subseteq S$ be an extension of unital rings, and consider the associated adjunction $\eta, \epsilon : - \otimes_R S \dashv U : \mathrm{Mod}_S \to \mathrm{Mod}_R$, where U denotes the restriction of scalars functor from right S–modules to right R–modules. The corresponding comonad $\mathbf{G}$ is isomorphic to the one given by the Sweedler canonical S–coring $S \otimes_R S$, that is, $\mathbf{G} \cong - \otimes_S S \otimes_R S : \mathrm{Mod}_S \to \mathrm{Mod}_S$. Thus coalgebras over $\mathbf{G}$ are identified with right $S \otimes_R S$–comodules. Therefore, for a given right S–module M_S, $\mathbf{G} - \mathit{coalg}(M)$ is the set of all right $S \otimes_R S$–coactions (i.e., comodule structures) on M_S. Therefore, $\mathbf{G} - \mathit{coalg}(M) = Z^1(S \otimes_R S, M)$, the set of descent 1–cocycles on $S \otimes_R S$ with values in M, according to [6]. Now, let us interpret the set $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R, - \otimes_R S}(U(M))$. From the definition, this is the set of all right R–submodules N of M_R such that the map

$$N \otimes_R S \to M \quad (n \otimes_R s \mapsto ns)$$

is bijective. If $M = N_0 \otimes_R S$ for a given right R–module N_0, then each $N \in \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R, - \otimes_R S}(U(M))$ becomes a *twisted form of* N_0 *over* S/R according to [21]. In fact, if we denote the set of such twisted forms by $\mathrm{twist}(S/R, N_0)$, then

$$\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R, - \otimes_R S}(U(N_0 \otimes_R S)) = \{N \in \mathrm{twist}(S/R, N_0) \mid \eta_N \text{ is monic } \}$$

Hence, when η_N is a monomorphism for all $N \in \mathrm{Mod}_R$ (e.g., if $- \otimes_R S : \mathrm{Mod}_R \to \mathrm{Mod}_R$ is comonadic), we get

$$\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R, - \otimes_R S}(U(N_0 \otimes_R S)) = \mathrm{twist}(S/R, N_0),$$

and, therefore, Proposition 1.9 gives in particular a bijection

$$\mathrm{twist}(S/R, N_0) \cong Z^1(S \otimes_R S, N_0 \otimes_R S). \tag{1.5}$$

When $R \subseteq S$ is an H-Hopf-Galois extension, where H is a Hopf algebra, then, by using the well-known interplay between Hopf S–modules and comodules over the S–coring $S \otimes_R S$ (see, e.g., [6, 2.6]), one easily deduces from (1.5) the bijection

$$\mathrm{Twist}(S/R, N_0) \cong H^1(H, N_0 \otimes_R S)$$

proved in [21, Theorem 1.2], where $H^1(H, M)$ is the first (nonabelian) cohomology set of the Hopf algebra H with coefficients in the (H, S)–Hopf module $N_0 \otimes S$ (as defined in [21]).

2 A bicategorical approach to Masuoka's theorem

Our aim in this section is to formulate and prove a version of Masuoka's theorem for a general bicategory. We begin by recalling that a bicategory $\mathbb{B}$ consists of :

- a class $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$ of objects, or 0-cells;

- a family $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$, for all $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}\in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$, of hom-categories, whose objects and morphisms are respectively called 1-cells and 2-cells;
- a (horizontal) composition operation, given by a family of functors

  $$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})\times\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})$$

  whose action on a pair $(g,f)\in\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})\times\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is written $g\otimes f$;
- identities, given by 1-cells $1_{\mathcal{A}}\in\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$, for $\mathcal{A}\in\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$;
- natural isomorphisms

  $$\alpha_{h,g,f}:(h\otimes g)\otimes f\simeq h\otimes(g\otimes f), l_f:1_{\mathcal{A}}\otimes f\simeq f \text{ and } r_f:f\otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}\simeq f,$$

subject to three coherence axioms (see [4]).

As a basic example, consider the bicategory Bim whose 0–cells are associative rings with unit, and, for each pair of rings A,B, the hom-category $\mathsf{Bim}(A,B)$ is the category of all unital A–B–bimodules and all homomomorphisms of A–B–bimodules between them. The horizontal composition is the opposite of the usual tensor product of bimodules.

A *strict* bicategory, or 2-category is a bicategory in which α, l and r are all identities. When $\mathbb{B}$ is a 2-category, then the composition operation sign $\otimes$ is usually omitted.

An example of 2–category is CAT, with categories as 0–cells, functors as 1–cells and natural transformations as 2–cells.

For each bicategory $\mathbb{B}$, there exists the *transpose* bicategory $\mathbb{B}^t$, defined by:

- $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B}^t)=\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$,
- $\mathbb{B}^t(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})=\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A})$ for all objects $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}\in\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$,
- the horizontal composition operation

  $$-\otimes^t -:\mathbb{B}^t(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})\times\mathbb{B}^t(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\to\mathbb{B}^t(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})$$

  is given by the composite

  $$\mathbb{B}^t(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})\times\mathbb{B}^t(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})=\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{B})\times\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A})\simeq\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A})\times\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{B})\xrightarrow{-\otimes-}\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{A})=\mathbb{B}^t(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}),$$

- $1^t_{\mathcal{A}}=1_{\mathcal{A}}$,
- $l^t=r$,
- $r^t=l$,
- $\alpha^t=\alpha^{-1}$.

A *homomorphism* $\Phi = (\phi, \overline{\phi}, \phi_0) : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}'$ between bicategories consists of:

- a function $\phi : \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B}')$;
- functors $\phi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{B}'(\phi(\mathcal{A}), \phi(\mathcal{B}))$;
- natural isomorphisms
$$\overline{\phi}_{f,g} : \phi(g) \otimes' \phi(f) \to \phi(g \otimes f)$$
and
$$(\phi_0)_{\mathcal{A}} : \phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}),$$

subject to appropriate coherence axioms (see [4]).

Given two homomorphisms $\Phi, \Phi' : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}'$ with $\phi(\mathcal{A}) = \phi'(\mathcal{A})$ for all objects $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$, an *icon* $\tau : \Phi \to \Phi'$ from Φ to Φ' consists of a natural transformation

$$\tau_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} : \phi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} \to \phi'_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{B}'(\phi(\mathcal{A}), \phi(\mathcal{B})) = \mathbb{B}'(\phi'(\mathcal{A}), \phi'(\mathcal{B}))$$

for all objects $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$ satisfying two coherence conditions- one for horizontal composition, one for identity 1-cells (see [15]).

Recall that a monoidal category is a one-object bicategory, that is, a monoidal category $\mathcal{V}$ is an ordinary category V with a bifunctor

$$- \otimes - : V \times V \to V$$

and a unit object $I \in V$, together with natural isomorphisms

$$\alpha_{X,Y,Z} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \simeq X \otimes (Y \otimes Z),$$

$$l_X : I \otimes X \simeq X,$$

and

$$r_X : X \otimes I \simeq X,$$

subject to appropriate coherence axioms (see, for example, [16]). Recall also that a monoidal category $\mathcal{V} = (V, \otimes, I, \alpha, l, r)$ is said to be *strict* if the structure morphisms α, l, r are all identities.

Note that if $\mathbb{B}$ is a bicategory, then for any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$, $(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}), \otimes, 1_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha, l, r)$ is a monoidal category.

A monoidal functor

$$\Phi = (\phi, \overline{\phi}, \phi_0) : \mathcal{V} = (V, \otimes, I, \alpha, l, r) \to \mathcal{V}' = (V', \otimes', I', \alpha', l', r')$$

between monoidal categories consists of:

- an ordinary functor $\phi : V \to V'$,

- natural transformations $\overline{\phi}_{X,Y} : \phi(X) \otimes' \phi(Y) \to \phi(X \otimes Y)$, and
- a morphism $\phi_0 : I' \to \phi(I)$

satisfying the usual coherence conditions (see, for example, [16]). A *strong* (resp. *strict*) monoidal functor is a monoidal functor in which $\overline{\phi}$ and ϕ_0 are both isomorphisms (resp. identities).

Recall finally that a monoidal natural transformation

$$\tau : \Phi = (\phi, \overline{\phi}, \phi_0) \to \Phi' = (\phi', \overline{\phi'}, \phi'_0) : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}'$$

between monoidal functors is an ordinary natural transformation $\tau : \phi \to \phi'$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi(X) \otimes' \phi(Y) \arrow[r, "\overline{\phi}_{X,Y}"] \arrow[d, "\tau_X \otimes \tau_Y"'] & \phi(X \otimes Y) \arrow[d, "\tau_{X \otimes Y}"] \\
\phi'(X) \otimes' \phi'(Y) \arrow[r, "\overline{\phi'}_{X,Y}"'] & \phi'(X \otimes Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \phi(I) \arrow[dd, "\tau_I"] \\
I' \arrow[ur, "\phi_0"] \arrow[dr, "\phi'_0"'] & \\
& \phi'(I)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

Note that the two conditions that an icon $\tau : \Phi, \Phi' : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}'$ satisfies guarantee that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} : \phi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} \to \phi'_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}'(\phi(\mathcal{A}), \phi(\mathcal{A}))$$

is a monoidal natural transformation.

Given a monoidal category $\mathcal{V} = (V, \otimes, I)$, we write $\mathcal{V}^t$ for the monoidal category $V, \otimes^t, I)$, in which $X \otimes^t Y = Y \otimes X$. It is clear that if $\mathbb{B}$ is an arbitrary bicategory, then for each $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$, $\mathbb{B}^t(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = (\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}))^t$.

Fix a monoidal category $\mathcal{V} = (V, \otimes, I, \alpha, l, r)$. Recall that a monoid in $\mathcal{V}$ (or $\mathcal{V}$-monoid) is an object S of V equipped with a multiplication $m_S : S \otimes S \to S$ and a unit $e_S : I \to S$ subject to the condition that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \otimes (S \otimes S) \arrow[r, "\alpha^{-1}_{S,S,S}"] \arrow[d, "S \otimes m_S"'] & (S \otimes S) \otimes S \arrow[r, "m_S \otimes S"] & S \otimes S \arrow[d, "m_S"] \\
S \otimes S \arrow[rr, "m_S"'] & & S,
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I \otimes S \arrow[r, "e_S \otimes S"] \arrow[dr, "l_S"'] & S \otimes S \arrow[d, "m_S"'] & S \otimes I \arrow[l, "S \otimes e_S"'] \arrow[dl, "r_S"] \\
& S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Dually, a comonoid in $\mathcal{V}$ (or $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid) is an object $\mathfrak{C}$ of V equipped with a comultiplication $\delta_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C}$ and a counit $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \to I$ subject to the condition that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C} \otimes (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) & (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \otimes \mathfrak{C} \arrow[l, "\alpha_{\mathfrak{C},\mathfrak{C},\mathfrak{C}}"'] & \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \arrow[l, "\delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes \mathfrak{C}"'] \\
\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \arrow[u, "\mathfrak{C} \otimes \delta_{\mathfrak{C}}"] & & \mathfrak{C}, \arrow[ll, "\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}"] \arrow[u, "\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I \otimes \mathfrak{C} \arrow[dr, "l_{\mathfrak{C}}"'] & \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \arrow[l, "\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes \mathfrak{C}"'] \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{C} \otimes \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}"] & \mathfrak{C} \otimes I \arrow[dl, "r_{\mathfrak{C}}"] \\
& \mathfrak{C} \arrow[u, "\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

A morphism from a $\mathcal{V}$-monoid $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ to a $\mathcal{V}$-monoid $\mathbb{S}' = (S', e_{S'}, m_{S'})$ is a morphism $f : S \to S'$ in V such that the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I \arrow[r, "e_S"] \arrow[dr, "e_{S'}"'] & S \arrow[d, "f"] \\
& S'
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \otimes S \arrow[r, "f \otimes f"] \arrow[d, "m_S"'] & S' \otimes S' \arrow[d, "m_{S'}"] \\
S \arrow[r, "f"'] & S'
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

Dually, a morphism from a $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{C}})$ to a $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid $\mathfrak{C}' = (\mathfrak{C}', \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}'}, \delta_{\mathfrak{C}'})$ is a morphism $\tau : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}'$ in V such that the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C} \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}"] \arrow[dr, "\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}'}"'] & \mathfrak{C} \arrow[d, "\tau"] \\
& \mathfrak{C}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \arrow[r, "\tau \otimes \tau"] & \mathfrak{C}' \otimes \mathfrak{C}' \\
\mathfrak{C} \arrow[u, "\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}"] \arrow[r, "\tau"'] & \mathfrak{C}' \arrow[u, "\delta_{\mathfrak{C}'}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

We write $\mathbf{Mon}(\mathcal{V})$ (resp. $\mathbf{Comon}(\mathcal{V})$) for the category of $\mathcal{V}$-(co)monoids and their morphisms.

If $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ is a monoid in a monoidal category $\mathcal{V}$, we write $\mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{T}^r_{\mathbb{S}}$) for the monad on V defined by

- $\mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}(X) = S \otimes X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{V}$,
- $\eta^l_X = (e_S \otimes X) \cdot (l_X)^{-1} : X \to S \otimes X = \mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}(X)$, and
- $\mu^l_X = (m_S \otimes X) \cdot (\alpha_{S,S,X})^{-1} : \mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}(X)) = S \otimes (S \otimes X) \to S \otimes X = \mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}(X)$

(resp.

- $\mathbf{T}^r_{\mathbb{S}}(X) = X \otimes S$,
- $\eta^r_X = (X \otimes e_S) \cdot (r_X)^{-1} : X \to X \otimes S = \mathbf{T}^r_{\mathbb{S}}(X)$, and
- $\mu_X = (X \otimes m_S) \cdot \alpha_{X,S,S} : \mathbf{T}^r_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{T}^r_{\mathbb{S}}(X)) = X \otimes S \otimes S \to X \otimes S = \mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}(X)$)

for all $X \in \mathcal{V}$.

Dually, for a $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{C}})$, one defines the comonads $\mathbf{G}^l_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $\mathbf{G}^r_{\mathfrak{C}}$ on $\mathcal{V}$.

We shall need the following propositions whose proofs are straightforward.

Proposition 2.1. *Any monoidal functor*

$$\Phi = (\phi, \overline{\phi}, \phi_0) : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}'$$

preserves monoids in the sense that, if $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ is a $\mathcal{V}$-monoid, then the triple $\phi(\mathbb{S}) = (\phi(S), \phi(e_S) \cdot \phi_0, \phi(m_S) \cdot \overline{\phi}_{S,S})$ is a $\mathcal{V}'$-monoid. Moreover, if Φ is a strong monoidal functor, then it also preserves comonoids in the sense that, if $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{C}})$ is a $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid, then the triple $\phi(\mathfrak{C}) = (\phi(\mathfrak{C}), \phi_0^{-1} \cdot \phi(\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}), (\overline{\phi}_{\mathfrak{C},\mathfrak{C}})^{-1} \cdot \phi(\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}))$ is a $\mathcal{V}'$-comonoid.

Proposition 2.2. *1) Let $\tau : \mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \to \mathfrak{C}' = (\mathfrak{C}', \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}'}, \delta_{\mathfrak{C}'})$ be an isomorphism of $\mathcal{V}$-comonoids. Then the rule*

$$(\sigma : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}) \longrightarrow (\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} : \mathfrak{C}' \to \mathfrak{C}')$$

defines an isomorphism of monoids

$$t^{\tau}_{\mathcal{V}} : \boldsymbol{Comon}(\mathcal{V})(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}) \to \boldsymbol{Comon}(\mathcal{V})(\mathfrak{C}', \mathfrak{C}').$$

2) Let

$$\Phi = (\phi, \overline{\phi}, \phi_0) : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}'$$

be a strong monoidal equivalence. Then for any $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{C}})$, the rule

$$(\sigma : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}) \longrightarrow (\phi_{\mathfrak{C},\mathfrak{C}}(\sigma) : \phi(\mathfrak{C}) \to \phi(\mathfrak{C}))$$

defines an isomorphism of monoids

$$s^{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{C}} : \boldsymbol{Comon}(\mathcal{V})(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}) \to \boldsymbol{Comon}(\mathcal{V}')(\phi(\mathfrak{C}), \phi(\mathfrak{C})).$$

Given a monoid $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ in a monoidal category $\mathcal{V} = (V, \otimes, I, \alpha, l, r)$, we write $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ for the subclass of $\mathrm{Sub}_{\mathcal{V}}(S)$ consisting of those elements $[(J, i_J : J \to S)] \in \mathrm{Sub}_{\mathcal{V}}(S)$ for which the composite

$$\xi^l_{i_J} : S \otimes J \xrightarrow{S \otimes i_J} S \otimes S \xrightarrow{m_S} S$$

is an isomorphism.

Dually, we let $\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ denote the subclass of $\mathrm{Sub}_{\mathcal{V}}(S)$ consisting of those subobjects $[(J, i_J : J \to S)]$ of S for which the composite

$$\xi^r_{i_J} : J \otimes S \xrightarrow{i_J \otimes S} S \otimes S \xrightarrow{m_S} S$$

is an isomorphism.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $f : \mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S) \to \mathbb{S}' = (S', e_{S'}, m_{S'})$ *be an isomorphism of* $\mathcal{V}$*-monoids. Then the assignment*

$$[J, i_J : J \to S] \longrightarrow [(J, f i_J : J \to S')]$$

yields bijections

$$\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(f) : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S}) \to \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S}')$$

and

$$\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{V}}(f) : \mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S}) \to \mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S}').$$

Proof. By symmetry, it is enough to prove the first statement.

It is clear that $\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{V}}(f)$ is injective. To show that it is surjective, consider any $[(J, i_J : J \to S')] \in \mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S}')$. The following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \otimes J \arrow[r, "S \otimes i_J"] \arrow[ddr, swap, "\xi^l_{i_J}"] & S \otimes S' \arrow[r, "S \otimes f^{-1}"] \arrow[d, "f \otimes S'"] & S \otimes S \arrow[dd, "m_S"] \\
 & S' \otimes S' \arrow[d, "m_{S'}"] & \\
 & S' \arrow[r, swap, "f^{-1}"] & S
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes since f (and hence also f^{-1}) is a morphism of $\mathcal{V}$-monoids. It follows that

$$m_S \cdot (S \otimes f^{-1}) \cdot (S \otimes i_J) = m_S \cdot (S \otimes (f^{-1} i_J))$$

is an isomorphism. Since $f^{-1} i_J$ is clearly injective, it follows that $[(J, f^{-1} i_J : J \to S)] \in \mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$. Quite obviously $\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{V}}(f)([(J, f^{-1} i_J)]) = [(J, i_J : J \to S')]$. This completes the proof. $\square$

The following Proposition, whose proof is left to the reader, connects the definitions of $\mathbf{I}^l(\mathbb{S})$ to the sets of subobjects studied in Section 1.

Proposition 2.4. *For an arbitrary monoid $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ in a monoidal category $\mathcal{V}$, the assignments*

$$[(J, i_J : J \to S \otimes I)] \longrightarrow [(J, r_S i_J : J \to S)]$$

and

$$[(J, i_J : J \to S \otimes I)] \longrightarrow [(J, l_S i_J : J \to S)]$$

yield bijections

$$q^l_{\mathbb{S}} : \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{S}}{}^{l}}(\mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}(I)) \to \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$$

and

$$q^r_{\mathbb{S}} : \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{V}, \boldsymbol{T}_{\mathbb{S}}{}^{r}}(\mathbf{T}^r_{\mathbb{S}}(I)) \to \mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S}),$$

respectively. When $\mathcal{V}$ is strict, then $q^l_{\mathbb{S}}$ and $q^r_{\mathbb{S}}$ are identities.

Recall that a morphism $i : X \to Y$ in a monoidal category $\mathcal{V} = (V, \otimes, I)$ is said to be *left* (resp. *right*) *pure* if for any $Z \in \mathcal{V}$, the morphism $Z \otimes i : Z \otimes X \to Z \otimes Y$ (resp. $i \otimes Z : X \otimes Z \to Y \otimes Z$) is a monomorphism. When the unit of a monoid $\mathbb{S}$ in $\mathcal{V}$ is left pure, $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ is a monoid in the sense that it has an associative product and a unit, as the following fundamental Proposition shows.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ be a monoid in a monoidal category $\mathcal{V}$ such that the morphism $e_S : I \to S$ is left pure. Then $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ has the structure of a monoid where the product $[(J_1, i_{J_1})] \cdot [(J_2, i_{J_12})]$ of two elements $[(J_1, i_{J_1})], [(J_2, i_{J_12})] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ is defined to be the equivalence class of the pair $(J_1 \otimes J_2, i_{J_1 \otimes J_2})$, where $i_{J_1 \otimes J_2}$ is the composite*

$$J_1 \otimes J_2 \xrightarrow{i_{J_1} \otimes i_{J_2}} S \otimes S \xrightarrow{m_S} S\ .$$

Moreover, the element $[(I, e_S : I \to S)]$ is a two sided unit for this multiplication.

Proof. Let $[(J_1, i_{J_1})], [(J_2, i_{J_12})] \in \boldsymbol{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \otimes (J_1 \otimes J_2) \arrow[r, "S\otimes(i_{J_1}\otimes J_2)"] \arrow[dd, "(\alpha_{S,J_1,J_2})^{-1}"'] \arrow[ddr, phantom, "(1)"] & S \otimes (S \otimes J_2) \arrow[r, "S\otimes(S\otimes i_{J_2})"] \arrow[dd, "(\alpha_{S,S,J_2})^{-1}"'] \arrow[ddr, phantom, "(2)"] & S \otimes (S \otimes S) \arrow[r, "S\otimes m_S"] \arrow[dd, "(\alpha_{S,S,S})^{-1}"'] \arrow[ddddr, phantom, "(4)"] & S \otimes S \arrow[dddd, "m_S"] \\
 & & & \\
(S\otimes J_1)\otimes J_2 \arrow[r, "(S\otimes i_{J_1})\otimes J_2"'] & (S\otimes S)\otimes J_2 \arrow[r, "(S\otimes S)\otimes i_{J_2}"'] \arrow[dd, "m_S\otimes J_2"'] \arrow[ddr, phantom, "(3)"] & (S\otimes S)\otimes S \arrow[dd, "m_S\otimes S"'] & \\
 & & & \\
 & S \otimes J_2 \arrow[r, "S\otimes i_{J_2}"'] & S \otimes S \arrow[r, "m_S"'] & S
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which

- (1) and (2) are commutative by naturality of α;
- (3) commutes by naturality of $m_S \otimes -$, and
- (4) commutes by associativity of m_S.

It follows that

$$\xi_{i_{J_1 \otimes J_2}} = m_S \cdot (S \otimes i_{J_1 \otimes J_2}) = m_S \cdot (S \otimes m_S) \cdot (S \otimes (i_{J_1} \otimes i_{J_2})) =$$

$$= m_S \cdot (S \otimes m_S) \cdot (S \otimes (S \otimes i_{J_2})) \cdot (S \otimes (i_{J_1} \otimes J_2)) =$$

$$= m_S \cdot (S \otimes i_{J_2}) \cdot (m_S \otimes J_2) \cdot ((S \otimes i_{J_1}) \otimes J_2) \cdot (\alpha_{S,J_1,J_2})^{-1} =$$

$$= \xi_{i_{J_2}} \cdot ((m_S \cdot (S \otimes i_{J_1})) \otimes J_2) \cdot (\alpha_{S,J_1,J_2})^{-1} = \xi_{i_{J_2}} \cdot (\xi_{i_{J_1}} \otimes J_2) \cdot (\alpha_{S,J_1,J_2})^{-1}.$$

But the morphisms $\xi_{i_{J_1}}$ and $\xi_{i_{J_2}}$ are both isomorphisms, since $[(J_1, i_{J_1})], [(J_2, i_{J_12})] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$, implying that $\xi_{i_{J_1 \otimes J_2}}$ is also an isomorphism.

Next, since $\xi_{i_{J_1 \otimes J_2}} = m_S \cdot (S \otimes i_{J_1 \otimes J_2})$ is an isomorphism, the morphism $S \otimes i_{J_1 \otimes J_2}$ is a monomorphism, and since $e_S : I \to S$ is left pure by our assumption, the functor $S \otimes - : V \to V$ reflects monomorphisms, implying that $i_{J_1 \otimes J_2}$ is a monomorphism. Thus $[(J_1 \otimes J_2, i_{J_1 \otimes J_2})] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$.

Suppose now that $[(J_1, i_{J_1})] = [(J'_1, i_{J'_1})]$ and $[(J_2, i_{J_2})] = [(J'_2, i_{J'_2})]$. Then there exist isomorphisms $f_1 : J_1 \to J'_1$ and $f_2 : J_2 \to J'_2$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
J_1 \arrow[dr, "i_{J_1}"] \arrow[dd, "f_1"'] & \\
& S \\
J'_1 \arrow[ur, "i_{J'_1}"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
J_2 \arrow[dr, "i_{J_2}"] \arrow[dd, "f_2"'] & \\
& S \\
J'_2 \arrow[ur, "i_{J'_2}"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2}$$

commute. Then

$$i_{J'_1 \otimes J'_2} \cdot (f_1 \otimes f_2) = m_S \cdot (S \otimes i_{J'_2}) \cdot (i_{J'_1} \otimes J'_2) \cdot (f_1 \otimes J'_2) \cdot (J_1 \otimes f_2) = \text{ by } (6)$$

$$= m_S \cdot (S \otimes i_{J'_2}) \cdot (i_{J_1} \otimes J'_2) \cdot (J_1 \otimes f_2) = \text{ by } \quad \text{naturality}$$

$$= m_S \cdot (S \otimes i_{J_2'}) \cdot (S \otimes f_2) \cdot (i_{J_1} \otimes J_2) = \text{ by } (7)$$
$$= m_S \cdot (S \otimes i_{J_2}) \cdot (i_{J_1} \otimes J_2) = i_{J_1 \otimes J_2}$$

and hence the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
J_1 \otimes J_2 \arrow[dd, "f_1 \otimes f_2"'] \arrow[dr, "i_{J_1 \otimes J_2}"] & \\
& S \\
J_1' \otimes J_2', \arrow[ur, "i_{J_1' \otimes J_2'}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, whose commutativity just means – since $f_1 \otimes f_2$ is an isomorphism – that $[(J_1 \otimes J_2, i_{J_1 \otimes J_2})] = [(J_1' \otimes J_2', i_{J_1' \otimes J_2'})]$. Thus, the map $([(J_1, i_{J_1})], [(J_2, i_{J_2})]) \to [(J_1 \otimes J_2, i_{J_1 \otimes J_2})]$ is well-defined.

Since $e_S : I \to S$ is left pure, e_S is a monomorphism. Moreover, since e_S is the unit for the multiplication $m_S : S \otimes S \to S$, the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \otimes I \arrow[r, "S \otimes e_S"] \arrow[dr, "r_S"'] & S \otimes S \arrow[d, "m_S"] \\
& S
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. And since r_S is an isomorphism, $[(I, e_S)] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$.

Now, for any $[J, i_J] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$, considering the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I \otimes J \arrow[r, "I \otimes i_J"] \arrow[d, "l_J"'] & I \otimes S \arrow[r, "e_S \otimes S"] \arrow[d, "l_S"'] & S \otimes S \arrow[dl, "m_S"] \\
J \arrow[r, "i_J"'] & S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(resp.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
J \otimes I \arrow[r, "i_J \otimes I"] \arrow[d, "r_J"'] & S \otimes I \arrow[r, "S \otimes e_S"] \arrow[d, "r_S"'] & S \otimes S\ ) \arrow[dl, "m_S"] \\
J \arrow[r, "i_J"'] & S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is commutative, since

- the square commutes by naturality of l (resp. r), and

- the triangle commutes because e_S is the unit for $m_S : S \otimes S \to S$,

one sees that $[I, e_S]$ is a two sided unit for the multiplication

$$([(J_1, i_{J_1})], [(J_2, i_{J_2})]) \to [(J_1 \otimes J_2, i_{J_1 \otimes J_2})].$$

□

Remark 2.6. Let $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ be an arbitrary $\mathcal{V}$-monoid. If $e_S : I \to S$ is left (resp. right) pure, then transporting the structure of a monoid on $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ (resp. $\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$) to $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}}(\mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}(I))$ (resp. $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}}}(\mathbf{T}^r_{\mathbb{S}}(I))$, one sees that $q^l_{\mathbb{S}}$ and $q^r_{\mathbb{S}}$ become isomorphisms of monoids.

Proposition 2.7. *Let*

$$\Phi = (\phi, \overline{\phi}, \phi_0) : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}'$$

be a strong monoidal functor such that the functor $\phi : V \to V'$ *preserves monomorphisms. Then for any monoid* $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ *in* $\mathcal{V}$*, the assignment*

$$[(J, i_J)] \longrightarrow [(\phi(J), \phi(i_J))]$$

yields a map

$$\phi_{\mathbb{S}} : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S}) \to \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}'}(\phi(\mathbb{S})).$$

Proof. Given an arbitrary $[(J, i_J)] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$, consider the pair $(\phi(J), \phi(i_J))$. Since the functor ϕ preserves monomorphisms, $\phi(i_J) : \phi(J) \to \phi(S)$ is a monomorphism and thus $[(\phi(J), \phi(i_J))] \in \mathrm{Sub}_{\mathcal{V}'}(\phi(S))$. To see that $[(\phi(J), \phi(i_J))] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}'}(\phi(\mathbb{S}))$, consider the composite

$$\xi_{\phi(i_J)} : \phi(S) \otimes \phi(J) \xrightarrow{\phi(S) \otimes \phi(i_J)} \phi(S) \otimes \phi(S) \xrightarrow{m_{\phi(S)}} \phi(S).$$

Since $m_{\phi(S)} = \phi(m_S) \cdot \overline{\phi}_{S,S}$, we have

$$\xi_{\phi(i_J)} = \phi(m_S) \cdot \overline{\phi}_{S,S} \cdot (\phi(S) \otimes \phi(i_J)) = \text{ by naturality of } \overline{\phi}$$

$$= \phi(m_S) \cdot \phi(S \otimes i_J) \cdot \overline{\phi}_{S,J} =$$

$$= \phi(m_S \cdot (S \otimes i_J)) \cdot \overline{\phi}_{S,J} =$$

$$= \phi(\xi_{i_J}) \cdot \overline{\phi}_{S,J}.$$

Thus $\xi_{\phi(i_J)}$ is an isomorphism, implying that $[(\phi(J), \phi(i_J))] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}'}(\phi(\mathbb{S}))$. □

Proposition 2.8. *In the situation of Proposition 2.7, if* Φ *is a strong monoidal equivalence, then the map* $\phi_{\mathbb{S}}$ *is bijective. Moreover, when* $e_S : I \to S$ *is left pure, this bijection is an isomorphism of monoids.*

Proof. Quite obviously, the map $\phi_{\mathbb{S}}$ is injective. So it suffices to show that $\phi_{\mathbb{S}}$ is surjective. So suppose that $[(X, i_X)] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}'}(\phi(\mathbb{S}))$, and let $\Phi' = (\phi', \overline{\phi'}, \phi'_0) : \mathcal{V}' \to \mathcal{V}$ be a strong monoidal functor with monoidal natural isomorphisms $\sigma : 1 \to \phi\phi'$ and $\tau : \phi'\phi \to 1$. Since any equivalence preserves monomorphisms, it follows from Proposition 2.7 that $[(\phi'(i_X) : \phi'(X) \to \phi'\phi(S))] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\phi'\phi(\mathbb{S}))$. It is then clear that $(\tau_S \cdot \phi'(i_X) : \phi'(X) \to S) \in \mathrm{Sub}_{\mathcal{V}}(S)$. Next, looking at the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \otimes \phi'(X) \arrow[r, "S\otimes\phi'(i_X)"] \arrow[d, "(\tau_S)^{-1}\otimes\phi'(X)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(1)"] & S \otimes \phi'\phi(X) \arrow[r, "S\otimes\tau_S"] \arrow[d, "(\tau_S)^{-1}\otimes\phi'\phi(S)"] & S \otimes S \arrow[d, "m_S"] \\
\phi'\phi(S) \arrow[r, "\phi'\phi(S)\otimes\phi'\phi(i_X)"'] \arrow[d, "\overline{\phi'}_{\phi(S),X}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(3)"] & \phi'\phi(S) \otimes \phi'\phi(S) \arrow[d, "\overline{\phi'}_{\phi(S),\phi(S)}"] \arrow[r, phantom, "(2)"] & S \\
\phi'(\phi(S)) \otimes X \arrow[r, "\phi'(\phi(S))\otimes i_X"'] & \phi'(\phi(S) \otimes \phi(S)) \arrow[r, "\phi'(m_S)"'] & \phi'\phi(S) \arrow[u, "\tau_S"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is commutative since

- (1) commutes by naturality,
- (2) commutes, since $\tau_S : \phi'\phi(S) \to S$ is a morphism of monoids (which follows from the fact that τ is a monoidal natural transformation), and
- (3) commutes since τ is a monoidal natural transformation,

one sees that $\xi_{i_{\tau_S \cdot \phi'(i_X)}} = m_S \cdot (S \otimes \tau_S) \cdot (S \otimes \phi'(i_X))$ is an isomorphism, proving that $[(\tau_S \cdot \phi'(i_X) : \phi'(X) \to S)] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$.

We now have:

$$\phi(\tau_S \cdot \phi'(i_X)) = \phi(\tau_S) \cdot \phi(\phi'(i_X)) = \quad \text{since } \phi\tau \cdot \sigma\phi = 1$$

$$= (\sigma)^{-1}_{\phi(S)} \cdot \phi(\phi'(i_X)) = \text{ by naturality of } \sigma$$

$$= i_X \cdot (\sigma)^{-1}_X.$$

Thus the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi\phi'(X) \arrow[dr, "\phi(\tau_S\cdot\phi'(i_X))"] \arrow[dd, "(\sigma)^{-1}_X"'] & \\
 & \phi(S) \\
X \arrow[ur, "i_X"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, proving that $\phi_{\mathbb{S}}([(\phi'(X), \tau_S \cdot \phi'(i_X))]) = [(X, i_X)]$. Thus the map

$$\phi_{\mathbb{S}} : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S}) \to \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}'}(\phi(\mathbb{S}))$$

is surjective, and hence bijective.

Suppose now that the morphism $e_S : I \to S$ is left pure in $\mathcal{V}$. It is easy to see- using the fact that Φ is a strong monoidal equivalence- that the morphism $\phi(e_S) : \phi(I) \to \phi(S)$ is left pure in $\mathcal{V}'$. Since $\phi_0 : I' \to \phi(I)$ is an isomorphism, this implies that $\phi(e_S) \cdot \phi_0 : I' \to \phi(S)$ is also left pure in $\mathcal{V}'$. Consequently, when the morphism $e_S : I \to S$ is left pure in $\mathcal{V}$, then $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ and $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}'}(\phi(\mathbb{S}))$ both have the structure of a monoid. We want to show that $\phi_{\mathbb{S}}$ is a homomorphism (and hence an isomorphism) of monoids.

Quite obviously, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I' \arrow[d, "\phi_0"'] \arrow[rd, "\phi(e_S)\cdot\phi_0"] & \\
& \phi(S) \\
\phi(I) \arrow[ru, "\phi(e_S)"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, proving that $\phi_{\mathbb{S}}([(I, e_S)]) = [(I', e_{\phi(S)})]$. Thus the map $\phi_{\mathbb{S}}$ preserves the identity.

Now let $[(J_1, i_{J_1})], [(J_2, i_{J_2})] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$ be arbitrary elements. Since in $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{S})$,

$$[(J_1, i_{J_1})] \cdot [(J_2, i_{J_2})] = [(J_1 \otimes J_2, i_{J_1 \otimes J_2})],$$

where

$$i_{J_1 \otimes J_2} = m_S \cdot (i_{J_1} \otimes i_{J_2}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathbb{S}}([(J_1, i_{J_1})] \cdot [(J_2, i_{J_2})]) &= [(\phi(J_1 \otimes J_2), \phi(m_S \cdot (i_{J_1} \otimes i_{J_2}))] = \\ &= [(\phi(J_1 \otimes J_2), \phi(m_S) \cdot \phi(i_{J_1} \otimes i_{J_2}))]. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi(J_1) \otimes \phi(J_2) \arrow[r, "\phi(i_{J_1}) \otimes \phi(i_{J_2})"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\phi}_{J_1,\, J_2}"'] & \phi(S) \otimes \phi(S) \arrow[d, "\overline{\phi}_{S,S}"] \\
\phi(J_1 \otimes J_2) \arrow[r, "\phi(i_{J_1} \otimes i_{J_2})"'] & \phi(S \otimes S)
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is commutative by naturality of $\overline{\phi}$, one sees that

$$\phi(i_{J_1 \otimes J_2}) \cdot \overline{\phi}_{J_1,\, J_2} = \phi(m_S) \cdot \phi(i_{J_1} \otimes i_{J_2}) \cdot \overline{\phi}_{J_1,\, J_2} =$$

$$= \phi(m_S) \cdot \overline{\phi}_{S,S} \cdot (\phi(i_{J_1}) \otimes \phi(i_{J_2})) =$$
$$= m_{\phi(S)} \cdot (\phi(i_{J_1}) \otimes \phi(i_{J_2})) = i_{\phi(J_1)\otimes\phi(J_2)},$$

which means that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi(J_1) \otimes \phi(J_2) \arrow[d, "\overline{\phi}_{J_1,\,J_2}"'] \arrow[dr, "i_{\phi(J_1)\otimes\phi(J_2)}"] & \\
& \phi(S) \\
\phi(J_1 \otimes J_2) \arrow[ur, "\phi(i_{J_1\otimes J_2})"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Thus in $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}'}(\phi(\mathbb{S}))$

$$[(\phi(J_1 \otimes J_2), \phi(i_{J_1\otimes J_2}))] = [(\phi(J_1) \otimes \phi(J_2), i_{\phi(J_1)\otimes\phi(J_2)})],$$

proving that

$$\phi_{\mathbb{S}}([(J_1, i_{J_1})] \cdot [(J_2, i_{J_2})]) = \phi_{\mathbb{S}}([(J_1, i_{J_1})]) \cdot \phi_{\mathbb{S}}([(J_2, i_{J_2})]).$$

Thus $\phi_{\mathbb{S}}$ is a homomorphism of monoids. □

Let us now consider a bicategory $\mathbb{B}$ and an arbitrary 0-cell $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{B})$. We call a (co)monoid in the monoidal category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ an $\mathcal{A}$-(co)ring and write $\mathcal{A}$-**Rings** (resp. $\mathcal{A}$-**Corings**) for the category of $\mathcal{A}$-rings (resp. $\mathcal{A}$-corings). When $\mathbb{B} = \mathsf{Bim}$, the bicategory of bimodules over unital rings, we recover the usual notions of an A–ring or an A–coring for a given ring A.

Suppose that $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ be an $\mathcal{A}$-ring. For any 0-cell $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathbb{S}$ induces a monad $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{S}} = (T, m_{\mathbf{T}}, e_{\mathbf{T}})$ on the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ as follows:

$$T(f) = S\otimes f,\ (m_{\mathbf{T}})_f = (m_S\otimes f)\cdot(\alpha_{S,S,f})^{-1}, \text{ and } (e_{\mathbf{T}})_f = (e_S\otimes f)\cdot(l_f)^{-1} \text{ for all } f \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}).$$

We write $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})_{\mathbf{T}^{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{S}}}$ for the Eilenberg-Moore category of $\mathbf{T}^{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{S}}$-algebras.

Dually, given an $\mathcal{A}$-coring $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{C}})$, one defines a comonad $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}} = (G, \delta_{\mathbf{G}}, \varepsilon_{\mathbf{G}})$ on the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ by

$$G(h) = \mathfrak{C}\otimes h,\ (\delta_{\mathbf{G}})_h = \alpha_{\mathfrak{C},\mathfrak{C},h}(\delta_{\mathfrak{C}}\otimes h) \text{ and } (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{G}})_h = l_h \cdot (\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}\otimes h) \text{ for all } h \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}).$$

We write $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})^{\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ for the corresponding Eilenberg-Moore category of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{C}}$-coalgebras.

Recall that a 1-cell $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ admits as a right adjoint a 1-cell $f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ when there exist 2-cells $\eta_f : 1_{\mathcal{A}} \to f^*\otimes f$ and $\varepsilon_f : f\otimes f^* \to 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that the following diagrams commute in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$, respectively:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f\otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}} \arrow[r, "f\otimes\eta_f"] \arrow[d, "r_f"'] & f\otimes(f^*\otimes f) \arrow[r, "(\alpha_{f,f^*,f})^{-1}"] & (f\otimes f^*)\otimes\phi \arrow[d, "\varepsilon_f\otimes f"] \\
f \arrow[rr, "(l_f)^{-1}"'] & & 1_{\mathcal{B}}\otimes f
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes f^* \arrow[r, "\eta_f \otimes f^*"] \arrow[d, "l_{f^*}"'] & (f^* \otimes f) \otimes f^* \arrow[r, "\alpha_{f^*,f,f^*}"] & f^* \otimes (f \otimes f^*) \arrow[d, "f^* \otimes \varepsilon_f"] \\
f^* \arrow[rr, "(r_{f^*})^{-1}"'] & & f^* \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}} \,.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.4}$$

We usually write $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ to denote that the 1-cell f^* is right adjoint to the 1-cell f with unit η_f and counit ε_f.

When $\mathbb{B}$ is a 2-category, then (2.3) and (2.4) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\varepsilon_f f \cdot f \eta_f = 1 \text{ and } f^* \varepsilon_f \cdot \eta_f f^* = 1. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be adjunction in $\mathbb{B}$ and let $\mathcal{C}$ be an arbitrary 0-cell of $\mathbb{B}$. Since the representable

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, -) : \mathbb{B} \to \mathrm{CAT}$$

is a homomorphism of bicategories and since any homomorphism of bicategories preserves adjunctions, the functor

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f) = f \otimes - : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$$

admits as a right adjoint the functor

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f^*) = f^* \otimes - : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})\,.$$

The unit $\eta_f^{\mathcal{C}}$ and counit $\varepsilon_f^{\mathcal{C}}$ of this adjunction are given by the formulas:

$$(\eta_f^{\mathcal{C}})_g : g \xrightarrow{(l_g)^{-1}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes g \xrightarrow{\eta_f \otimes g} (f^* \otimes f) \otimes g \xrightarrow{\alpha_{f^*,f,g}} f^* \otimes (f \otimes g), \text{ for all } g \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$$

and

$$(\varepsilon_f^{\mathcal{C}})_h : f \otimes (f^* \otimes h) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_{f,f^*,h})^{-1}} (f \otimes f^*) \otimes h \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_f \otimes h} 1_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes h \xrightarrow{r_h} h, \text{ for all } h \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}).$$

We shall write $\mathbf{T}_f^{\mathcal{C}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{G}_f^{\mathcal{C}}$) for the monad (resp. comonad) on the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ (resp. $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$) generated by the adjunction

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f) \dashv \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f^*) : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}).$$

Dually, the functor

$$\mathbb{B}(f, \mathcal{C}) = - \otimes f : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$$

is right adjoint to the functor

$$\mathbb{B}(f^*, \mathcal{C}) = - \otimes f^* : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$$

whose unit and counit are

$$(\overline{\eta}^{\mathcal{C}}_f)_g : g \xrightarrow{(r_g)^{-1}} g\otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{g\otimes\eta_f} g\otimes(f^*\otimes f) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_{g,f^*,f})^{-1}} (g\otimes f^*)\otimes f \text{ for } \ \text{all } g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C},$$

and

$$(\overline{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{C}}_f)_h : (h\otimes f)\otimes f^* \xrightarrow{\alpha_{h,f,f^*}} h\otimes(f\otimes f^*) \xrightarrow{h\otimes\varepsilon_f} h\otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{r_h} h \text{ for } \ \text{all } h : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}.$$

From (7) and (8), it is easily verified that

Proposition 2.9. *For any adjunction* $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ *in* $\mathbb{B}$*, the triple*

$$S^{\mathbb{B}}_f = (f^*\otimes f, m_f, \eta_f),$$

where m_f *is the composite*

$$(r_{f^*}\otimes f^*) \cdot ((f^*\otimes\varepsilon_f)\otimes f) \cdot (\alpha_{f^*,f,f^*}\otimes f) \cdot (\alpha_{f^*\otimes f,f^*,f})^{-1} : (\phi^*\otimes\phi)\otimes(f^*\otimes f) \to f^*\otimes f,$$

is an $\mathcal{A}$*-ring, while the triple*

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f = (f\otimes f^*, \delta_f, \varepsilon_f),$$

where δ_f *is the composite*

$$(\alpha_{f^*\otimes f,f^*,f}) \cdot ((\alpha_{f,f^*,f})^{-1}\otimes f^*) \cdot ((f\otimes\eta_f)\otimes f^*) \cdot ((r_f)^{-1}\otimes f^*) : f\otimes f^* \to (f\otimes f^*)\otimes(f\otimes f^*),$$

is a $\mathcal{B}$*-coring.*

By abuse of notation, we will write S_f and $\mathfrak{C}_f$ when $\mathbb{B}$ clear from the context. Observe that the coring $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f$ is a general form of the comatrix coring introduced in [8].

Remark 2.10. It is not hard to check that the monads $\mathbf{T}^{\mathcal{A}}_f$ and $\mathbf{T}^{l}_{S_f}$ are isomorphic.

We now consider an adjunction $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ in an arbitrary 2-category $\mathbb{K}$, the corresponding $\mathcal{B}$-coring $\mathfrak{C}_f$ and write $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$ for $\mathcal{B}$-**Corings**$(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$. Since $(f, \eta_f f : f \to f f^* f) \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})^{\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{C}_f}}$, the pair $(f, \alpha f \cdot f\eta_f)$ is also an object of the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})^{\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{C}_f}}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$. Hence the assignment

$$\alpha \to (f, \alpha f \cdot f\eta_f)$$

yields a map

$$\gamma : \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f) \to \mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{C}_f}\text{-coalg}(f).$$

Proposition 2.11. *The map* γ *is bijective.*

Proof. For any $(f, \theta_f : f \to \mathfrak{C}^*_f(f) = ff^*f) \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})^{\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{C}_f}}$, write $\gamma'(\theta_f)$ for the composite

$$\mathfrak{C}_f = ff^* \xrightarrow{\theta_f f^*} ff^*ff^* \xrightarrow{ff^*\varepsilon_f} ff^* = \mathfrak{C}_f\ .$$

We claim that $\gamma'(\theta_f)$ is a endomorphism of the $\mathcal{B}$–coring $\mathfrak{C}_f$. Indeed, looking at the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
ff^* \arrow[r, "\theta_f f^*"] \arrow[dr, equal] & ff^*ff^* \arrow[r, "ff^*\varepsilon_f"] \arrow[d, "f\varepsilon_f f^*"] & ff^* \arrow[d, "\varepsilon_f"] \\
& ff^* \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_f"'] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the triangle commutes because $(f,\theta_f) \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})^{\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{C}_f}}$, while the square commutes by functoriality of composition, we see that $\varepsilon_f \cdot \gamma'(\theta_f) = \varepsilon_f$. Moreover, since

$$ff^*\varepsilon_f f \cdot \theta_f f^* f \cdot f\eta_f = ff^*\varepsilon_f f \cdot ff^*f\eta_f \cdot \theta_f = \theta_f \tag{2.6}$$

by functoriality of composition and by (2.5), we have

$$\begin{gathered}
(\gamma'(\theta_f)\gamma'(\theta_f)) \cdot \delta_{\mathfrak{C}_f} = ff^*\gamma'(\theta_f) \cdot \gamma'(\theta_f)ff^* \cdot \delta_{\mathfrak{C}_f} = \\
= ff^*ff^*\varepsilon_f \cdot ff^*\theta_f f^* \cdot ff^*\varepsilon_f ff^* \cdot \theta_f f^*ff^* \cdot f\eta_f f^* = \text{ by } (2.6) \\
= ff^*ff^*\varepsilon_f \cdot ff^*\theta_f f^* \cdot \theta_f f^* = \text{ since } (f,\theta_f) \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})^{\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{C}_f}} \\
= ff^*ff^*\varepsilon_f \cdot f\eta_f f^*ff^* \cdot \theta_f f^* = \text{ by naturality of composition} \\
= f\eta_f f^* \cdot ff^*\varepsilon_f \cdot \theta_f f^* = \delta_{\mathfrak{C}_f} \cdot \gamma'(\theta_f).
\end{gathered}$$

Thus $\gamma'(\theta_f)$ is an endomorphism of the $\mathcal{B}$-coring $\mathfrak{C}_f$, and therefore the assignment

$$(f,\theta_f) \to \gamma'(\theta_f)$$

yields a map

$$\gamma' : \mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{C}_f}\text{-coalg}(f) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f).$$

We are now going to show that γ' is the inverse to γ. To show that $\gamma'\gamma = 1$, consider an arbitrary element α of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$. We have:

$$\begin{gathered}
(\gamma'\gamma)(\alpha) = \gamma'(f, \alpha f \cdot f\eta_f) = \\
= ff^*\varepsilon_f \cdot \alpha ff^* \cdot f\eta_f f^* = \text{ by naturality of composition} \\
= \alpha \cdot ff^*\varepsilon_f \cdot f\eta_f f^* = \text{ by } (2.5) \\
= \alpha.
\end{gathered}$$

Thus $\gamma'\gamma = 1$.

Now, if $(f, \theta_f) \in \mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{C}_f}-coalg(f)$, then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\gamma\gamma')(\theta_f) &= \gamma(ff^*\varepsilon_f \cdot \theta_f f^*)) = \\
&= ff^*\varepsilon_f f \cdot \theta_f f^* f \cdot f\eta_f = \text{ by naturality of composition} \\
&= ff^*\varepsilon_f f \cdot ff^* f\eta_f \cdot \theta_f = \text{ by } (2.5) \\
&= \theta_f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\gamma\gamma' = 1$ and hence γ is bijective whose inverse is γ'. □

Proposition 2.12. *Let $f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an adjunction in a bicategory $\mathbb{B}$ such that the unit of the adjunction*

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f) \dashv \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f^*) : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

is a monomorphism. Then

$$\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},f)}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f^*)(f)) = \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},f)}(f^*f)$$

has the structure of a monoid where the product

$$[(h', i_{h'})] \cdot [(h, i_h)]$$

of

$$[(h, i_h)],\ [(h', i_{h'})] \in \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},f)}(f^*f)$$

is defined to be the (the equivalence class of) the pair $(h' \otimes h, i_{h'\otimes h})$, where $i_{h'h}$ is the composite

$$h' \otimes h \xrightarrow{i_{h'} \otimes h} (f^* \otimes f) \otimes h \xrightarrow{(f^*\otimes f)\otimes i_h} (f^* \otimes f) \otimes (f^* \otimes f) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_{f^*\otimes f, f^*, f})^{-1}} ((f^* \otimes f) \otimes f^*) \otimes f$$

$$\xrightarrow{\alpha_{f^*, f, f^*} \otimes f} (f^* \otimes (f \otimes f^*)) \otimes f \xrightarrow{(f^* \otimes \varepsilon_f) \otimes f} (f^* \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes f \xrightarrow{r_{f^*} \otimes f} f^* \otimes f.$$

Moreover, the element $[(\eta_f : 1_{\mathcal{A}} \to f^*f)]$ is a two sided unit for this multiplication.

Proof. According to Propositions 1.5 and 1.7 and Remark 2.10, we have the following chain of bijections

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},f)}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f^*)(f)) \simeq \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},f)}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f^*)(f \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}})) = \\
&= \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},f)}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f^*)(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f)(1_{\mathcal{A}})) = \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbf{T}^{\mathcal{A}}_f}(\mathbf{T}^{\mathcal{A}}_f(1_{\mathcal{A}})) \simeq \\
&\simeq \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbf{T}^l{}_{\mathbb{S}_f}}(\mathbf{T}^l_{\mathbb{S}_f}(1_{\mathcal{A}})) \simeq \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{S}_f).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the unit of the adjunction

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f) \dashv \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f^*) : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

is a monomorphism, the morphism $\eta_f : 1 \to f^* \otimes f$ is right pure in the monoidal category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, implying that $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{S}_f)$ has the structure of a monoid (as in Proposition 2.5). Transporting this monoid structure along the above chain of bijections, one gets the structure of a monoid on

$$\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},f)}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f^*)(f))$$

and it is straightforward to check that this structure is just the one described in the proposition. □

It follows from the proof of Proposition 2.12 that for any adjunction $f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ in a 2-category $\mathbb{K}$,

$$\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},f)}(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, f^*)(f)) = \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f).$$

Consider now the composite

$$\Gamma^{\mathbb{K}}_f = \gamma' \Psi_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},f),\, f} : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f) = \mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},f)}(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, f^*)(f)) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f).$$

This map takes $[(h, i_h)]$ to the composite

$$ff^* \xrightarrow{(\xi_{i_h})^{-1} f^*} fhf^* \xrightarrow{f\eta_f h f^*} ff^*fhf^* \xrightarrow{ff^*\xi_{i_h} f^*} ff^*ff^* \xrightarrow{ff^*\varepsilon_f} ff^* .$$

But since $\xi_{i_h} = \varepsilon_f f \cdot f^* i_h$, it follows from the naturality of $\eta_f -$ that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
h \arrow[r, "\eta_f h"] \arrow[d, "i_h"'] & f^*fh \arrow[d, "f^*fi_h"'] \arrow[dr, "f^*\xi_{i_h}"] & \\
f^*f \arrow[r, "\eta_f f^* f"'] & f^*ff^*f \arrow[r, "f^*\varepsilon_f f"'] & f^*f
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Thus

$$f^*\xi_{i_h} \cdot \eta_f h = f^*\varepsilon_f f \cdot \eta_f f^* f \cdot i_h = i_h$$

and hence

$$\Gamma^{\mathbb{K}}_f([(h, i_h)]) = ff^*\varepsilon_f \cdot f i_h f^* \cdot (\xi_{i_h})^{-1} f^*.$$

By abuse of notation, we will write Γ_f when $\mathbb{K}$ clear from the context.

We are now in position to state our main theorem in the framework of 2–categories. It will be extended later to bicategories.

Theorem 2.13. *When the functor*

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, f) : \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

is comonadic, the map

$$\Gamma_f^{\mathbb{K}} : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

Proof. When the functor

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, f) : \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

is comonadic, it follows from Propositions 1.9 and 2.11 that the map Γ_f is bijective. So it only remains to show that Γ_f is a morphism of monoids. We shall prove that the inverse Γ'_f to Γ_f is a monoid isomorphism.

Note first that since the functor $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, f)$ is assumed to be comonadic, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1_{\mathcal{A}} \arrow[r, "\eta_f^{\mathcal{A}}"] & \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, f^*f) \arrow[r, shift left, "\eta_f \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, f^*f)"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, f^*f)\eta_f"'] & \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, f^*ff^*f),
\end{tikzcd}$$

and hence also its $1_{\mathcal{A}}$-component

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r, "\eta_f"] & f^*f \arrow[r, shift left, "\eta_f f^*f"] \arrow[r, shift right, "f^*f\eta_f"'] & f^*ff^*f
\end{tikzcd}$$

is an equalizer (see, for example, [2]). Thus $\Gamma'_f(1_{\mathfrak{C}_f}) = [\mathrm{eq}(\eta_f f^*f, f^*f\eta_f)] = [(1, \eta_f)]$, proving that Γ'_f preserves the identity element.

Next, according to (2.5), the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
ff^*f \arrow[r, "f\eta_f f^*f"] \arrow[d, "f\eta_f f^*f"'] \arrow[rd, equal] & ff^*ff^*f \arrow[d, "ff^*\varepsilon_f f"] \\
ff^*ff^*f \arrow[r, "\varepsilon_f ff^*f"'] & ff^*f,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.7}$$

Since $\Gamma'_f = \overline{\Psi}_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},f),\, f} \cdot \gamma$, for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$,

$$\Gamma'_f(\alpha) = \overline{\Psi}_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},f),\, f}(\gamma(\alpha)) = \overline{\Psi}_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},f),\, f}(f, \alpha f \cdot f\eta_f) = [(f_\alpha, i_\alpha)],$$

where (f_α, i_α) is an equalizer of the pair

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f^*f \arrow[r, "f^*f\eta_f"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "\eta_f f^*f"'] & f^*ff^*f \arrow[r, "f^*\alpha f"] & f^*ff^*f
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.8}$$

So, in particular,

$$\eta_f f^* f \cdot i_\alpha = f^*\alpha f \cdot (f^* f \eta_f) \cdot i_\alpha \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose now that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$, and consider the product

$$\Gamma'_f(\alpha_1) \cdot \Gamma'_f(\alpha_2) = [(f_{\alpha_1}, i_{\alpha_1})] \cdot [(f_{\alpha_2}, i_{\alpha_2})] = [(f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2}, i_{f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2}})].$$

We have:

$$\begin{gathered}
\eta_f f^* f \cdot f^* \varepsilon_f f \cdot i_{\alpha_1} i_{\alpha_2} = \\
= \eta_f f^* f \cdot f^* \varepsilon_f f \cdot i_{\alpha_1} f^* f \cdot h_1 i_{\alpha_2} = \text{ by functoriality of composition} \\
= f^* f f^* \varepsilon_f f \cdot \eta_f f^* f f^* f \cdot i_{\alpha_1} f^* f \cdot h_1 i_{\alpha_2} = \text{ by } (2.9) \\
= f^* f f^* \varepsilon_f f \cdot f^* \alpha_1 f f^* f \cdot f^* f \eta_f f^* f \cdot i_{\alpha_1} f^* f \cdot h_1 i_{\alpha_2} = \text{ by functoriality of composition} \\
= f^* \alpha_1 f \cdot f^* f f^* \varepsilon_f f \cdot f^* f \eta_f f^* f \cdot i_{\alpha_1} f^* f \cdot h_1 i_{\alpha_2} = \text{ by } (2.7) \\
= f^* \alpha_1 f \cdot f^* \varepsilon_f f f^* f \cdot f^* f \eta_f f^* f \cdot i_{\alpha_1} f^* f \cdot h_1 i_{\alpha_2} = \\
= f^* \alpha_1 f \cdot f^* \varepsilon_f f f^* f \cdot f^* f \eta_f f^* f \cdot f^* f i_{\alpha_2} \cdot i_{\alpha_1} h_2 = \text{ by } (2.9) \\
= f^* \alpha_1 f \cdot f^* \varepsilon_f f f^* f \cdot f^* f f^* \alpha_2 f \cdot f^* f f f^* \eta_f \cdot f^* f i_{\alpha_2} \cdot i_{\alpha_1} h_2 = \text{ by functoriality of composition} \\
= f^* \alpha_1 f \cdot f^* \alpha_2 f \cdot f^* \varepsilon_f f f^* f \cdot f^* f f f^* \eta_f \cdot f^* f i_{\alpha_2} \cdot i_{\alpha_1} h_2 = \\
= f^* (\alpha_1 \alpha_2) f \cdot f^* \varepsilon_f f f^* f \cdot f^* f f f^* \eta_f \cdot f^* f i_{\alpha_2} \cdot i_{\alpha_1} h_2 = \\
= f^* (\alpha_1 \alpha_2) f \cdot f^* \varepsilon_f f f^* f \cdot f^* f f f^* \eta_f \cdot i_{\alpha_1} i_{\alpha_2} = \text{ by functoriality of composition} \\
= f^* (\alpha_1 \alpha_2) f \cdot f^* f \eta_f \cdot f^* \varepsilon_f f \cdot i_{\alpha_1} i_{\alpha_2}.
\end{gathered}$$

Since $i_{f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2}} = f^* \varepsilon_f f \cdot i_{\alpha_1} i_{\alpha_2}$, it follows that

$$f^* (\alpha_1 \alpha_2) f \cdot f^* f \eta_f \cdot i_{f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2}} = \eta_f f^* f \cdot i_{f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2}}$$

and since $(f_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}, i_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2})$ is an equalizer of the diagram (2.8), there exists a unique morphism $k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} : f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} \to f_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} \arrow[dr, "i_{f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2}}"] \arrow[dd, "k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}"'] & \\
& f^* f \\
f_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \arrow[ur, "i_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute. It now follows -since any comonadic functor is conservative- from Lemma 1.1 that $[i_{f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2}}] = [i_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}]$ in $\mathbf{Sub}_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}),\, \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},f)}(f^* f)$. Therefore

$$\Gamma'_f(\alpha_1 \alpha_2) = \Gamma'_f(\alpha_1) \cdot \Gamma'_f(\alpha_2).$$

It clearly implies that Γ_f is an isomorphism of monoids. This completes the proof. □

Next, we give the dual statement to Theorem 2.13.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an adjunction in a 2-category $\mathbb{K}$ such that the functor*

$$\mathbb{K}(f^*, \mathcal{A}) : \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$$

is comonadic. Then the assignment

$$[(h, i_h)] \longrightarrow (ff^* \xrightarrow{f(\xi_{i_h})^{-1}} fhf^* \xrightarrow{fi_hf^*} ff^*ff^* \xrightarrow{ff^*\varepsilon_f} ff^*)$$

yields an isomorphism of monoids

$$\Gamma^{\mathbb{K}}_{f^*} = \Gamma_{f^*} : \mathbf{I}^r_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f) \to (\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f))^{op}.$$

Proof. Since f^* is right adjoint to f in $\mathbb{K}$, f is right adjoint to f^* in $\mathbb{K}^t$. Thus the adjunction $\mathbb{K}(f^*, \mathcal{A}) \dashv \mathbb{K}(f, \mathcal{A}) : \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ in $\mathbb{K}$ can be seen as the adjunction $\mathbb{K}^t(\mathcal{A}, f^*) \dashv \mathbb{K}^t(\mathcal{A}, f) : \mathbb{K}^t(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{K}^t(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ in $\mathbb{K}^t$. And since the functor $\mathbb{K}(f^*, \mathcal{A})$ is comonadic by assumption, the functor $\mathbb{K}^t(\mathcal{A}, f^*)$ is also comonadic, and thus we can apply Theorem 2.13 to the adjunction $\mathbb{K}^t(\mathcal{A}, f^*) \dashv \mathbb{K}^t(\mathcal{A}, f)$ to conclude that the map

$$\Gamma^{\mathbb{K}^t}_{f^*} : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{K}^t(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S^{\mathbb{K}^t}_{f^*}) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{K}^t}_{f^*}, \mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{K}^t}_{f^*})$$

is an isomorphism of monoids. Now, using the fact that $\mathbb{K}^t(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ can be identified with the monoidal category $(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}))^t$, it is not hard to show that

$$\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{K}^t(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S^{\mathbb{K}^t}_{f^*}) = \mathbf{I}^r_{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S^{\mathbb{K}}_f), \;\; \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{K}^t}_{f^*}, \mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{K}^t}_{f^*}) = (\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f))^{\mathrm{op}},$$

and that $\Gamma^{\mathbb{K}^t}_{f^*}$ is just the map $\Gamma^{\mathbb{K}}_{f^*}$. Consequently, $\Gamma^{\mathbb{K}}_{f^*}$ is an isomorphism of monoids. ☐

Our next aim is to lift Theorem 2.13 from 2–categories to bicategories. We will proceed step by step.

Proposition 2.15. *Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a bicategory, $\mathbb{K}$ be a 2-category, and $\phi = (\phi, \overline{\phi}, \phi_0) : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{K}$ be a homomorphism of bicategories. Then for any adjunction $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{K}$, the (iso)morphism $\overline{\phi}_{f^*,f} : \phi(f^*)\phi(f) \to \phi(f^* \otimes f)$ yields an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}), \phi(\mathcal{A}))$-monoids*

$$\overline{\kappa}^{\phi}_f : S^{\mathbb{K}}_{\phi(f)} \to \phi(S^{\mathbb{B}}_f).$$

Proof. Considering the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi(f^*)\phi(f)\phi(f^*)\phi(f) \arrow[r, "\overline{\phi}\phi(f^*)\phi(f)"] \arrow[dd, "\phi(f^*)\overline{\phi}\phi(f)"'] & \phi(f^*\otimes f)\phi(f^*)\phi(f) \arrow[r, "\phi(f^*\otimes f)\overline{\phi}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\phi}\phi(f)"] & \phi(f^*\otimes f)\phi(f^*\otimes f) \arrow[d, "\overline{\phi}"] \\
{} \arrow[r, phantom, "(1)"] & \phi((f^*\otimes f)\otimes f^*)\phi(f) \arrow[r, phantom, "(2)"] \arrow[d, "\phi(\alpha_{f^*,f,f^*})\phi(f)"'] \arrow[dr, "\overline{\phi}"'] & \phi((f^*\otimes f)\otimes(f^*\otimes f)) \\
\phi(f^*)\phi(f\otimes f^*)\phi(f) \arrow[r, "\overline{\phi}\phi(f)"] \arrow[d, "\phi(f^*)\phi(\varepsilon_f)\phi(f)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(4)"] & \phi(f^*\otimes(f\otimes f^*))\phi(f) \arrow[r, phantom, "(3)"] \arrow[d, "\phi(f^*\otimes\varepsilon_f)\phi(f)"'] \arrow[dr, "\overline{\phi}"'] & \phi(((f^*\otimes f)\otimes f^*)\otimes f) \arrow[u, "\phi(\alpha_{f^*\otimes f,f^*,f})"'] \arrow[d, "\phi(\alpha_{f^*,f,f}\otimes f)"] \\
\phi(f^*)\phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\phi(f) \arrow[r, "\overline{\phi}\phi(f)"'] \arrow[d, "\phi(f^*)(\phi_0)_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}\phi(f)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(6)"] & \phi(f^*\otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}})\phi(f) \arrow[r, phantom, "(5)"] \arrow[d, "\phi(r_{f^*})\phi(f)"'] \arrow[dr, "\overline{\phi}"'] & \phi((f^*\otimes(f\otimes f^*))\otimes f) \arrow[d, "\phi((f^*\otimes\varepsilon_f)\otimes f)"] \\
\phi(f^*)1_{\phi(\mathcal{A})}\phi(f) \arrow[r, equal] & \phi(f^*)\phi(f) \arrow[r, phantom, "(7)"] \arrow[dr, "\overline{\phi}"'] & \phi((f^*\otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}})\otimes f) \arrow[d, "\phi(r_{f^*}\otimes f)"] \\
 & & \phi(f^*\otimes f)
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which

- Diagrams (1), (2) and (6) commute because ϕ is a homomorphism of bicategories;
- Diagrams (3), (4), (5) and (7) commute by naturality of $\overline{\phi}$,

we see that

$$m_{\phi(S_f^{\mathbb{B}})} \cdot (\overline{\phi}_{f^*,f}\overline{\phi}_{f^*,f}) =$$

$$= (\phi((\phi_0)_{\mathcal{A}})\otimes f)\cdot(\phi((f^*\otimes\varepsilon_f)\otimes f))\cdot(\phi(\alpha_{f^*,f,f}\otimes f))\cdot(\phi(\alpha^{-1}_{f^*\otimes f,f^*,f}))\cdot(\overline{\phi}_{f^*\otimes f,f^*,f})\cdot(\overline{\phi}_{f^*,f}\overline{\phi}_{f^*,f}) =$$

$$= \overline{\phi}_{f^*,f}\cdot(\phi(f^*)(\phi_0)_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}\phi(f))\cdot(\phi(f^*)\phi(\varepsilon_f)\phi(f))\cdot(\phi(f^*)\overline{\phi}_{f,f^*}\phi(f)) = \overline{\phi}_{f^*,f}\cdot m_{S^{\mathbb{K}}_{\phi(f)}}.$$

Moreover, since $e_{\phi(S_f^{\mathbb{B}})} = \phi(\eta_f)\cdot(\phi_0)_{\mathcal{A}} : 1_{\phi(\mathcal{A})} \to \phi(f^*\otimes f)$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1_{\phi(\mathcal{A})} \arrow[d, "(\phi_0)_{\mathcal{A}}"'] \arrow[rrdd, "e_{\phi(S_f^{\mathbb{B}})}"] & & \\
\phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \arrow[d, "\phi(\eta_f)"'] & & \\
\phi(f^*\otimes f) \arrow[r, "(\overline{\phi}_{f^*,f})^{-1}"'] & \phi(f^*)\phi(f) \arrow[r, "\overline{\phi}_{f^*,f}"'] & \phi(f^*\otimes f)
\end{tikzcd}$$

clearly commutes, showing that $\overline{\phi}_{f^*,f}$ preserves the unit. Thus $\overline{\phi}_{f^*,f} : \phi(f^*)\phi(f) \to \phi(f^*\otimes f)$ is an isomorphism of monoids in $\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(\mathcal{A}))$. $\square$

Dually, one has:

Proposition 2.16. *Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a bicategory, $\mathbb{K}$ be a 2-category, and $\phi = (\phi, \overline{\phi}, \phi_0) : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{K}$ be a homomorphism of bicategories. Then for any adjunction $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{K}$, the (iso)morphism $\overline{\phi}_{f^*,f} : \phi(f^*)\phi(f) \to \phi(f^*\otimes f)$ yields an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(\mathcal{A}))$-comonoids*

$$\underline{\kappa}_f^{\phi} : \mathfrak{C}_{\phi(f)}^{\mathbb{K}} \to \phi(\mathfrak{C}_f^{\mathbb{B}}).$$

We are now ready to prove our main result, namely, the following bicategorical version of Masuoka's Theorem.

Theorem 2.17. *Let $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an adjunction in a bicategory $\mathbb{B}$ such that the functor $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f) : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is comonadic. Then the rule*

$$[(h, i_h)] \longrightarrow \theta_h,$$

where θ_h is the composite

$$(f\otimes r_{f^*})\cdot(f\otimes(f^*\otimes\varepsilon_f))\cdot(f\otimes\alpha_{f^*,f,f^*})\cdot(\alpha_{f,f^*\otimes f,f^*})\cdot((f\otimes i_h)\otimes f^*)\cdot((\xi_{i_h})^{-1}\otimes f^*),$$

defines an isomorphism of monoids:

$$\Gamma_f^{\mathbb{B}} = \Gamma_f : \mathbf{I}^{l}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f^{\mathbb{B}}) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f^{\mathbb{B}}, \mathfrak{C}_f^{\mathbb{B}}).$$

Proof. According to [15], there exist a 2-category $\mathbb{K}$, homomorphisms

$$\phi = (\phi, \overline{\phi}, \phi_0) : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{K},\ \phi' = (\phi', \overline{\phi'}, \phi'_0) : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{B}$$

of bicategories and invertible icons $\phi\phi' \simeq 1$ and $\phi'\phi \simeq 1$. And considering the monoidal functor

$$\phi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(\mathcal{A})),$$

one observes that the composite

$$\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S^{\mathbb{B}}_f) \xrightarrow{(\phi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}})_{S^{\mathbb{B}}_f}} \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(\mathcal{A}))}(\phi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}}(S^{\mathbb{B}}_f)) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(\mathcal{A}))}((\overline{\kappa}^{\phi}_f)^{-1})} \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(\mathcal{A}))}(S^{\mathbb{K}}_{\phi(f)})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Gamma^{\mathbb{K}}_{\phi(f)}} \mathrm{End}_{\phi(\mathcal{B})}(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{K}}_{\phi(f)}, \mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{K}}_{\phi(f)}) \xrightarrow{t^{\underline{\kappa}^{\phi}_f}_{\mathbb{K}}} \mathrm{End}_{\phi(\mathcal{B})}(\phi(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f), \phi(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f)) \xrightarrow{(s^{\phi}_{\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}f})^{-1}} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f, \mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f)$$

is just the map $\Gamma^{\mathbb{B}}_f$. Now, since $\phi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}}$ is a strong monoidal functor, it follows that

- $(\phi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}})_{S^{\mathbb{B}}_f}$ is an isomorphism of monoids by Proposition 2.8;
- $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(\mathcal{A}))}(((\overline{\kappa}^{\phi}_f)^{-1}))$ is an isomorphism of monoids by Proposition 2.15;
- $t^{\underline{\kappa}^{\phi}_f}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is an isomorphism of monoids by Proposition 2.2(1);
- $s^{\phi}_{\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}f}$ is an isomorphism of monoids by Proposition 2.2 (2).

Moreover, considering the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f)"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{\mathcal{A},\, \mathcal{A}}"'] & \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}) \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, f^*)"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{\mathcal{B},\, \mathcal{A}}"] \\
\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(\mathcal{A})) \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}), \phi(f))"] & \mathbb{B}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),t(\mathcal{B})) \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}), \phi(f^*))"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is commutative (up to isomorphism), since ϕ is a homomorphism of bicategories, and using the fact that $\phi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}}$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}$ are both equivalences of categories, one sees that the functor $\mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(f)) : \mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(\mathcal{A})) \to \mathbb{K}(\phi(\mathcal{A}),\phi(\mathcal{B}))$ is comonadic, and applying Theorem 2.13 gives that $\Gamma^{\mathbb{K}}_{\phi(f)}$ is an isomorphism of monoids. Consequently, the map $\Gamma^{\mathbb{B}}_f$ is an isomorphism of monoids. □

Dually, one has:

Theorem 2.18. *Let $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an adjunction in a bicategory $\mathbb{B}$ such that the functor $\mathbb{B}(f^*, \mathcal{A}) : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A})$ is comonadic. Then there is an isomorphism of monoids:*

$$\Gamma^{\mathbb{B}}_{f^*} = \Gamma_f : \mathbf{I}^r_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S^{\mathbb{B}}_f) \to (\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f, \mathfrak{C}^{\mathbb{B}}_f))^{op}.$$

3 Sufficient conditions for the comonadicity: flatness, purity and separability

We continue to suppose that $\mathbb{B}$ is a bicategory. In this section, we find sufficient conditions on the 1–cell f that imply the comonadicity of the functor $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f)$, and thus the existence of the isomorphism of monoids Γ_f. We start with a definition inspired by the (bi)module case.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an arbitrary 0-cell of $\mathbb{B}$.

(i) A 1-cell $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is right (resp. left) $\mathcal{C}$-*flat* if the functor

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f) = f \otimes - : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$$

(resp.

$$\mathbb{B}(f, \mathcal{C}) = -\otimes f : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}))$$

preserves equalizers.

(ii) A 2-cell $\tau : f \to g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is *right* (resp. *left*) $\mathcal{C}$-*pure* if for any 1-cell $h : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A}$ (resp. $h : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$) the morphism $\tau \otimes 1_h : f \otimes h \to g \otimes h$ (resp. $1_h \otimes \tau : h \otimes f \to h \otimes g$) is a regular monomorphism in the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ (resp. $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$).

A consequence of [8, Theorem 3.10] is that if M is an A–B–bimodule over rings A, B such that M_B is finitely generated and projective and ${}_A M$ is faithfully flat, then $- \otimes_A M : \mathrm{Mod}_A \to \mathrm{Mod}_B$ is comonadic. This statement could be alternatively deduced from Beck's comonadicity theorem. The following proposition makes it clear in the framework of our general bicategory $\mathbb{B}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ *be an adjunction in* $\mathbb{B}$. *Suppose that for a 0-cell* $\mathcal{C}$, f *(resp.* f^**) is right* $\mathcal{C}$*-flat (resp.* f^* *is left* $\mathcal{C}$*-flat) and* η_f *is right (resp. left)* $\mathcal{C}$*-pure. Then the functor*

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f) = f \otimes - : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$$

(resp.

$$\mathbb{B}(f^*, \mathcal{C}) = -\otimes f^* : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}))$$

is comonadic.

Proof. By duality, it suffices to prove the first statement. The functor

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f^*) = f^* \otimes - : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$$

is right adjoint to the functor

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f) = f \otimes - : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$$

and for any 1-cell $h : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A}$, the h-component of the unit $\eta^f_{\mathcal{C}}$ of this adjunction is given by the composite

$$\alpha_{f^*, f, f^*} \cdot (\eta_f \otimes h) \cdot (l_h)^{-1} : h \to f^* \otimes f \otimes h.$$

Since η_f is right $\mathcal{C}$-pure by hypothesis, $\eta_f \otimes h$ (and hence also the composite $\alpha_{f^*, f, f^*} \cdot (\eta_f \otimes h) \cdot (l_h)^{-1}$) is a regular monomorphism. Hence the unit of the adjunction $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f) \dashv \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f^*)$ is a regular monomorphism, implying that the functor $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f)$ reflects isomorphisms (see, for example, [1]). Moreover, since f is right $\mathcal{C}$-pure, the functor $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f)$ preserves equalizers, and hence the functor $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f)$ is comonadic by a simple and well-known application of (the dual of) Beck's theorem (see, [16]). □

We say that a 1-cell $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is right (resp. left) flat if for any 0-cell $\mathcal{C}$, f is right (resp. left) $\mathcal{C}-$flat. Similarly, we say that a 2-cell $\tau : f \to f' : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is right (resp. left) pure if for any 0-cell $\mathcal{C}$, f is right (resp. left) $\mathcal{C}-$pure.

The following is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an adjunction in $\mathbb{B}$ and suppose that f is right (resp. f^* is left) flat and that η_f is right (resp. left) pure. Then, for any 0-cell $\mathcal{C}$, the functor*

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f) : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$$

(resp.

$$\mathbb{B}(f^*, \mathcal{C}) : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}))$$

is comonadic.

In the light of Proposition 3.3, we get from Theorems 2.13 and 2.14 the following generalization of Masuoka's theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an adjunction in $\mathbb{B}$.*

(i) *If f is right $\mathcal{A}$-flat and η_f is right $\mathcal{A}$-pure, then the map*

$$\Gamma_f : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{S}_f) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

(ii) *If f is left $\mathcal{A}$-flat and η_f is left $\mathcal{A}$-pure, then the map*

$$\Gamma_{f^*} : (\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{S}_f))^{op} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

We shall need the following

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{V} = (V, \otimes, I, \alpha, l, r)$ be a monoidal category and consider a monoid $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ over $\mathcal{V}$. If the morphism $e_S : I \to S$ is right pure, then for any $[(J, i_J)] \in \boldsymbol{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(S)$, the morphism $i_J : J \to S$ is also right pure.*

Proof. Since the morphism e_S is right pure, $e_S \otimes X : I \otimes X \to S \otimes X$, and hence also

$$(e_S \otimes X) \cdot (l_X)^{-1} : X \to S \otimes X,$$

is a monomorphim for any object X. Then in particular, the morphism

$$(e_S \otimes J \otimes X) \cdot (l_{J\otimes X})^{-1} : J \otimes X \to S \otimes J \otimes X$$

is a monomorphism, and since $[(J, i_J)] \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{V}}(S)$ and thus ξ_{i_J} is an isomorphism, the composite

$$J \otimes X \xrightarrow{(l_{J\otimes X})^{-1}} I \otimes J \otimes X \xrightarrow{e_S \otimes J \otimes X} S \otimes J \otimes X \xrightarrow{\xi_{i_J} \otimes X} S \otimes X$$

is also a monomorphism. We claim that this composite is just the morphism $i_J \otimes X$. Indeed, consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
J\otimes X \arrow[r, "(l_J)^{-1}\otimes X"] \arrow[d, "i_J\otimes X"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(1)"] & I\otimes J\otimes X \arrow[r, "e_S\otimes J\otimes S"] \arrow[d, "I\otimes i_J\otimes X"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(2)"] & S\otimes J\otimes X \arrow[d, "S\otimes i_J\otimes X"] \\
S\otimes X \arrow[r, "(l_S)^{-1}\otimes X"'] & I\otimes S\otimes X \arrow[r, "e_S\otimes S\otimes X"'] \arrow[dr, "l_S\otimes X"'] & S\otimes S\otimes X \arrow[d, "m_S\otimes X"] \\
 & & S\otimes X\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this diagram

- Diagram (1) commutes by naturality of l;
- Diagram (2) commutes by bifunctoriality of $-\otimes-$, and
- the triangle commutes since $e_S : I \to S$ is a unit for the monoid $\mathbb{S}$.

It follows $-$since $\xi_{i_J} = m_S \cdot (S\otimes i_J)-$ that

$$(m_S\otimes X)\cdot(S\otimes i_J\otimes X)\cdot(e_S\otimes J\otimes X)\cdot(l_{J\otimes X})^{-1} = \quad \text{since } (l_{J\otimes X})^{-1} = (l_J)^{-1}\otimes X)$$

$$= (m_S\otimes X)\cdot(S_S\otimes i_J\otimes X)\cdot(e_S\otimes J\otimes X)\cdot((l_J)^{-1}\otimes X) = i_J\otimes X.$$

Therefore $i_J\otimes X$ is a monomorphism for all $X \in \mathcal{V}$, proving that i_J is right pure. □

An $\mathcal{A}$–ring $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ is said to be *split* if e_S is a split monomorphism in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$. We will prove that an $\mathcal{A}$–ring that comes from an adjunction is split if and only if the representatives are separable functors in the sense of [20] (see [23] for a characterization of separable adjoint functors). Given an adjunction $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ in a bicategory $\mathbb{B}$, one says that f is a *separable 1-cell* (or that the 1-cell f is *separable*) if the 2-cell $\eta_f : 1_{\mathcal{A}} \to f^*\otimes f$ is a split monomorphism in the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 3.6. *For an adjunction $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{B}$, the following are equivalent:*

(i) *f is separable.*

(ii) *the $\mathcal{A}$-ring S_f is split;*

(iii) *For each 0-cell $\mathcal{C}$, the unit $\eta_f^{\mathcal{C}}$ of the adjunction*

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f) \dashv \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f^*) : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$$

is a split monomorphism (i.e., $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f)$ is separable) ;

(iv) *For each 0-cell $\mathcal{C}$, the unit $\overline{\eta}_f^{\mathcal{C}}$ of the adjunction*

$$\mathbb{B}(f^*, \mathcal{C}) \dashv \mathbb{B}(f, \mathcal{C}) : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$$

is a split monomorphism (i.e. $\mathbb{B}(f^*, \mathcal{C})$ is separable).

Proof. Since the unit of the $\mathcal{A}$-ring S_f is just η_f, (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Next, since $(\eta_f^{\mathcal{C}})_f = \alpha_{f^*, f, f^*} \cdot (\eta_f \otimes f) \cdot (l_f)^{-1}$ for all 1-cell $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A}$, that (i) and (iii) are equivalent is easily seen, while putting $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}$ and $f = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ in $(\eta_f^{\mathcal{C}})_f$ gives the implication (iii) ⇒ (i). Similarly, one can prove that (i) is equivalent to (iv). □

Proposition 3.7. *If $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ in an adjunction $\mathbb{B}$ such that f is a separable 1-cell and if idempotents split in the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, then the functors*

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f) = f \otimes - : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$$

and

$$\mathbb{B}(f^*, \mathcal{C}) = - \otimes f^* : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$$

are both comonadic.

Proof. Since f is a separable 1-cell in $\mathbb{B}$ by hypothesis, it follows from Proposition 3.6 that the units of the adjunctions

$$f \otimes - \dashv f^* \otimes - : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$$

and

$$- \otimes f^* \dashv - \otimes f : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$$

are both split monomorphisms. And since idempotents split in in the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, one may apply Proposition 3.16 of [18] to conclude that the functors $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, f)$ and $\mathbb{B}(f^*, \mathcal{C})$ are both comonadic. □

Combining Proposition 3.7 and Theorems 2.17 and 2.18, we get:

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an adjunction in a bicategory $\mathbb{B}$ with f a separable 1-cell. If idempotents split in the category $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$, then each of the maps*

$$\Gamma_f : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})}(S_f) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{f^*} : (\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})}(S_f))^{op} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

4 Applications

We will now consider bicategories of generalized bimodules in the frameworks of functor additive categories and of firm modules, and we will apply the theory so far developed to these cases. In particular, the generalizations of Masuoka's theorem given in [7] and [19] will be deduced.

Let K be a commutative ring with unit, and Mod_K the category of unital K-modules. A K-category is a category $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with a K-module structure on each hom set in such a way that composition induces K-module homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{A}(a,b)\otimes_K \mathcal{A}(b,c)\to \mathcal{A}(a,c).$$

Equivalently, a K-category is a category which is enriched over the symmetric monoidal closed category Mod_K. A one-object K-category is just an associative K-algebra. A $\mathbb{Z}$-category is a preadditive category.

If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are K-categories, a functor $F:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}$ is called a K-functor if for all $a,a'\in\mathcal{A}$, the map

$$F_{a,a'}:\mathcal{A}(a,a')\to\mathcal{B}(F(a),F(a'))$$

is a morphism of K-modules. A $\mathbb{Z}$-functor is an additive functor. And when $\mathcal{A}$ is small, we write $[\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}]$ for the category of K-functors from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$, where $[\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}](F,F')$ is the K-module of K-natural transformations from F to F'.

Given $F:\mathcal{A}\to\mathrm{Mod}_K$, $a,a'\in\mathcal{A}$, $f\in\mathcal{A}(a,a')$ and $x\in\mathcal{A}(a)$, write xf for $F(f)(x)$. Dually, if $G:\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathrm{Mod}_K$ and $y\in\mathcal{A}(a')$, write fy for $F(f)(y)$.

If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are K-categories, we let $\mathcal{A}\otimes_K\mathcal{A}'$ denote the K-category whose class of objects is $Ob(\mathcal{A})\times Ob(\mathcal{A}')$, where the K-module of morphisms from (a,a') to (b,b') is $\mathcal{A}(a,b)\otimes_K\mathcal{A}(a',b')$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a small K-category. It is well known (see [13]) that there is a functor

$$-\otimes - : [\mathcal{A},\mathrm{Mod}_K]\times[\mathcal{A}^{op},\mathrm{Mod}_K]\to\mathrm{Mod}_K$$

$$(F,G)\to F\otimes G,$$

called the tensor product which is defined by the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Mod}_K(F\otimes G,a)\simeq[\mathcal{A}^{op},\mathrm{Mod}_K](G,\mathrm{Mod}_K(F,a))$$

natural in $F\in[\mathcal{A},\mathrm{Mod}_K]$, $G\in[\mathcal{A}^{op},\mathrm{Mod}_K]$, and $a\in\mathrm{Mod}_K$. Here $\mathrm{Mod}_K(H,a)$ denotes the K-functor $\mathcal{A}^{op}\to\mathrm{Mod}_K$ whose value at a' is $\mathrm{Mod}_K(H(a'),b)$. Recall that the tensor product $F\otimes G$ is defined by

$$F\otimes G=\bigoplus_{a\in\mathcal{A}}F(a)\otimes_K G(a)/Q\,,$$

where Q is the K-submodule of $\bigoplus_{a\in\mathcal{A}}F(a)\otimes_K G(a)$ generated by all elements of the form $(af\otimes_K a'-a\otimes_K fa')$.

Note that, if $F \in [\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathrm{Mod}_K]$ and $G \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathrm{Mod}_K]$, then $F \otimes G \simeq G \otimes F$.

If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are small K-categories, an $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodule ϕ (which we shall denote by $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{B}$) is a K-functor $\phi : \mathcal{B}^{op} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{Mod}_K$. Morphisms between bimodules are just K-natural transformations. We shall write $\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ for the category of $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$-bimodules. If $\mathcal{K}$ is the unit K-category (that is, if $\mathcal{K}$ is the K-category with one object $*$ and with $\mathcal{K}(*, *) = K$), a bimodule $\mathcal{K} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}$ is essentially a K-functor $\mathcal{A}^{op} \to \mathrm{Mod}_K$, and $\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{A})$ is (isomorphic to) the category $[\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathrm{Mod}_K]$. Similarly, a bimodule $\mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a K-functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{Mod}_K$ and $\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K})$ is (isomorphic to) the category $[\mathcal{A}, \mathrm{Mod}_K]$. And a bimodule $\mathcal{K} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}$ can be identified with a K-module and $\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ with the category Mod_K.

Given small K-categories $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, one has a functor

$$- \otimes - : \quad \mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \times \mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \to \mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$$

defined as follows: Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodule and let $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a $\mathcal{B}$-$\mathcal{C}$-bimodule. For any given object $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the K-functor $\phi(-, a) : \mathcal{B}^{op} \to \mathrm{Mod}_K$ can be seen as a $\mathcal{K}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodule $\phi(-, a) : \mathcal{K} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{B}$, while for any $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the K-functor $\varphi(c, -) : \mathcal{B} \to \mathrm{Mod}_K$ can be seen as a $\mathcal{B}$-$\mathcal{K}$-bimodule $\varphi(c, -) : \mathcal{B} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}$. Then the $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{C}$-bimodule $\varphi \otimes \phi$ is the K-functor defined by

$$(\varphi \otimes \phi)(c, a) = \varphi(c, -) \otimes \phi(-, a), c \in \mathcal{C}, a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

This tensor product of bimodules is associative up to canonical isomorphisms and admits as units the $\mathcal{B}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodules $1_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{B}$ given by $1_{\mathcal{B}}(b, b') = \mathcal{B}(b, b')$; so that $1_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \phi \simeq \phi$ and $\varphi \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \varphi$. Consequently, we have a bicategory $\mathbf{Bim}$ whose 0-cells are small K-categories, whose 1-cells are bimodules, and whose 2-cells are K-functors between bimodules. The horizontal product in $\mathbf{Bim}$ is the tensor product of bimodules.

We shall need the following

Lemma 4.1. (i) *A 2-cell $\tau : f \to f'$ in* **Bim** *is right pure iff it is right $\mathcal{K}$-pure.*

(ii) *A 1-cell f in* **Bim** *is right flat iff it is right $\mathcal{K}$-flat.*

Proof. (i). One direction is clear, so suppose that τ is right $\mathcal{K}$-pure, and consider an arbitrary 1-cell $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A}$. For any pair $(b, c) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}$, the (b, c)-component $(\tau \otimes f)_{(b,c)}$ of the 2-cell $\tau \otimes f : f \otimes f \to f' \otimes f$ is $\tau_{b,-} \otimes f(-, c)$, and identifying $f(-, c)$ with a bimodule $\mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{A}$, $\tau_{b,-} \otimes f(-, c)$ can be seen as the b-component $(\tau \otimes f(-, c))_b$ of the 2-cell $\tau \otimes f(-, c) : f \otimes f(-, c) \to f' \otimes f(-, c)$. But since f is right $\mathcal{K}$-pure, $\tau \otimes f(-, c)$ is a monomorphism for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Now, since any natural transformation between K-functors is a monomorphism in the corresponding functor category iff it is a componentwise monomorphism, it follows that $(\tau \otimes f(-, c))_b$, and hence $\tau_{b,-} \otimes f(-, c)$, is a monomorphism for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus $\tau \otimes f$ is a monomorphism, proving that f is right pure.

In a similar way one can show that a 1-cell f in **Bim** is right flat iff it is right $\mathcal{K}$-flat. $\square$

There is of course a dual result:

Lemma 4.2. (i) *A 1-cell f in* **Bim** *is left flat iff it is left $\mathcal{K}$-flat.*

(ii) *A 2-cell $\tau : f \to f'$ in* **Bim** *is left pure iff it is left $\mathcal{K}$-pure.*

Since the category Mod_K, and hence any functor category $[\mathcal{A}, \mathrm{Mod}_K]$, is equipped with a representative choice of (regular) monomorphisms, we usually write I rather than $[(I, i_I)]$ for any subobject of an arbitrary functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{Mod}_K$.

Let now $\mathcal{A}$ be a small K-category and $\mathbb{S} = (S, e_S, m_S)$ be an $\mathcal{A}$-ring. An $\mathcal{A}$–subbimodule of $\mathbb{S}$ is a subobject I of $S(-,-) : \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Mod}_K$ in the category $[\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathrm{Mod}_K]$. It can be regarded as the union of all the sets $I(a, a')$ of morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ such that, if $f, g : a \to a'$ are in $I(a, a')$ and if $x : b \to a$ and $y : a' \to b'$ are arbitrary morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$, then $y(f+g)x$ is in $I(b, b')$. Quite obviously, S is an $\mathcal{A}$–subbimodule of $\mathbb{S}$. Moreover, it is easy to see that if I, J are $\mathcal{A}$–subbimodules of $\mathbb{S}$, then their product IJ defined by

$$IJ(a, a') = \{\sum_{k \in K} f_k g_k, f_k \in I(a_k, a'), g_k \in J(a, a_k), K \text{ is a finite set and } a, a_k \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is also an $\mathcal{A}$–subbimodule of $\mathbb{S}$. With respect to this product, the $\mathcal{A}$–subbimodules of $\mathbb{S}$ form a monoid and S is a two sided unit for this product. We write $\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{S})$ for this monoid.

Remark 4.3. One can check easily that IJ is just the image of the composite

$$I \otimes J \xrightarrow{i_I \otimes i_J} S \otimes S \xrightarrow{m_S} S\,,$$

where $i_I : I \to S$ and $i_J : J \to S$ are the canonical embeddings.

Let $\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{S})$ (resp. $\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{S})$) denote the submonoid of $\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{S})$ consisting of those $\mathcal{A}$–subbimodules I for which the multiplication

$$S \otimes I \to S, \; s \otimes i \to si$$

$$(\text{resp. } I \otimes S \to s, \; i \otimes s \to is)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an adjunction in* **Bim**. *If the functor $f \otimes - : [\mathcal{A}^{op}, Mod_K] \to [\mathcal{B}^{op}, Mod_K]$ is precomonadic, then for all $(I, i_I), (J, i_J) \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f)$, the canonical morphism $I \otimes J \to IJ$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Consider the composite

$$I \otimes J \xrightarrow{i_I \otimes J} S_f \otimes J \xrightarrow{S_f \otimes i_J} S_f \otimes S_f \xrightarrow{m_f} S_f\,.$$

Since the functor

$$f \otimes - : [\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Mod}_K] \to [\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Mod}_K]$$

(which can be seen as the functor

$$\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A}, f) = f \otimes - : \mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B}))$$

is assumed to be precomonadic, it follows that $\eta_f : 1 \to S_f$ is right $\mathcal{K}$-pure, and hence right pure by Lemma 4.1. Thus, the unit $\eta_f : 1 \to S_f$ of the $\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$-monoid S_f is right pure in the monoidal category $\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$. It then follows from Proposition 3.5 that $i_I \otimes J$ is a monomorphism. Moreover, since $(J, i_J) \in \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})}(S_f)$, $\xi^l_J = m_f \cdot (S_f \otimes i_J)$ is an isomorphism. Consequently, the composite $m_f \cdot (i_I \otimes i_J)$ is a monomorphism, and thus its image -which is just IJ by Remark 4.3 - is isomorphic to $I \otimes J$.

□

Corollary 4.5. *In the situation of Proposition 4.4, the assignment*

$$I \longrightarrow (I, i_I)$$

yields an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{A}}(S_f) \simeq \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f)$$

of monoids.

Dually, one has

Corollary 4.6. *In the situation of Proposition 4.4, the assignment*

$$I \longrightarrow (I, i_I)$$

yields an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{A}}(S_f) \simeq \mathbf{I}^r_{\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f)$$

of monoids.

Considering the composites

$$\mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{A}}(S_f) \simeq \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_f} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

and

$$(\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{A}}(S_f))^{\mathrm{op}} \simeq (\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A})}(S_f))^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{f^*}} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

− which we still call Γ_f and Γ_{f^*}, respectively− and combining Theorems 2.17, 2.18 and 3.8 and Corollaries 4.5 and 4.6, we get

Theorem 4.7. *Let If $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an adjunction in* **Bim**.

(i) *If the functor $f \otimes - : [\mathcal{A}^{op}, Mod_K] \to [\mathcal{B}^{op}, Mod_K]$ is comonadic, the map*

$$\Gamma_f : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{A}}(S_f) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

(ii) *If the functor* $-\otimes f^* : [\mathcal{A}, Mod_K] \to [\mathcal{B}, Mod_K]$ *is comonadic, the map*

$$\Gamma_{f^*} : (\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{A}}(S_f))^{op} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

Now, combining Theorem 4.7 with Theorems 3.4 and 3.8 and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 we get:

Theorem 4.8. *Let* $\eta_f, \varepsilon_f : f \dashv f^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ *be an adjunction in* **Bim**.

(i) *If* f *is right flat and* η_f *is right pure, then the map*

$$\Gamma_f : \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathcal{A}}(S_f) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

(ii) *If* f *is left flat and* η_f *is left pure, then the map*

$$\Gamma_{f^*} : (\mathbf{I}^r_{\mathcal{A}}(S_f))^{op} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{C}_f, \mathfrak{C}_f)$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

(iii) *If* f *is a separable bimodule, then each of the maps* Γ_f *and* Γ_{f^*}*, is an isomorphism of monoids.*

Recall that a K-category $\mathcal{A}$ with only one object amounts to a K-algebra A (with unit) and that the category $\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{A})$ is (isomorphic to) the category of right A-modules Mod_A, while the category $\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K})$ is (isomorphic to) the category of left A-modules ${}_A\mathrm{Mod}$. Recall also that if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two such K-categories with corresponding K-algebras A and B, respectively, then to give an $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodule $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{B}$ is to give a A-B-bimodule M and that the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{M}\otimes -"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B}) \arrow[d, equal] \\
\mathrm{Mod}_A \arrow[r, "-\otimes_A M"'] & \mathrm{Mod}_B
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{K}) \arrow[r, "-\otimes\mathcal{M}"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K}) \arrow[d, equal] \\
{}_B\mathrm{Mod} \arrow[r, "M\otimes_B -"'] & {}_A\mathrm{Mod}\,,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical morphisms are the isomorphisms, are both commutative. Recall finally that, according e.g. to [5], $\mathcal{M}$ has a right adjoint $\mathcal{M}^*$ in **Bim** iff M_B is finitely generated and projective. When this is the case, the $\mathcal{B}$-$\mathcal{A}$-bimodule $\mathcal{M}^* : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ corresponds to the B-A-bimodule $M^* = \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B)$. Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{K},\, \mathcal{B}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{M}^*\otimes -"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathbf{Bim}(\mathcal{K},\, \mathcal{A}) \arrow[d, equal] \\
\mathrm{Mod}_B \arrow[r, "-\otimes_B M^*"'] & \mathrm{Mod}_A
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. It follows that the $\mathcal{A}$-ring $S_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M}^* \otimes \mathcal{M}$ corresponds to the A-ring $S_M = M \otimes_B M^* \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, M)$, while the $\mathcal{B}$-coring $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ corresponds to the so called *comatrix coring* $M^* \otimes_A M$ corresponding to the A-B-bimodule M (see [8]). This means that if $\{(e_i,\, e_i^*)_{1\leq i\leq n}\} \subset M \times M^*$ is a fixed dual basis for M_B, then $M^* \otimes_A M$ is a B-coring with the following comultiplication Δ and and counit ε

$$\Delta(m \otimes_A m^*) = \sum_i m^* \otimes_A e_i \otimes_B e_i^* \otimes_A m_i,\ \ \varepsilon(m \otimes_A m^*) = m^*(m).$$

Since the horizontal composition in **Bim** is the opposite of the usual tensor product of bimodules, we get that $\mathbf{I}_A^l(S_{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathbf{I}_A^r(S_M)^{op}$, and $\mathbf{I}_A^r(S_{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathbf{I}_A^l(S_M)^{op}$, where $\mathbf{I}_A^l(S_M)$ and $\mathbf{I}_A^r(S_M)$ are the monoids associated to the ring homomorphism $A \to S_M$ according to [17]. Applying now Theorem 4.7 gives

Theorem 4.9. ([19]) *Let A, B be K-algebras, M be an A-B-bimodule with M_B finitely generated projective. Then:*

(i) *If the functor $- \otimes_A M : \mathrm{Mod}_A \to \mathrm{Mod}_B$ is comonadic, then the map*

$$\Gamma_M : (\mathbf{I}_A^r(S_M))^{op} \to \mathrm{End}_{B-cor}(\mathfrak{C}_M)$$

that takes $(I, i_I) \in \mathbf{I}_A^r(S_M)$ to the composite

$$M^*\otimes_A M \xrightarrow{M^*\otimes_A \xi_{i_I}^{-1}} M^*\otimes_A I \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{M^*\otimes_A i_I \otimes_A M} M^*\otimes_A M \otimes_B M^* \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{M^*\otimes_A M^*\otimes_B \varepsilon} M^*\otimes_A M$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

(ii) *If the functor $M^*\otimes_A - :\ {}_A\mathrm{Mod} \to {}_B\mathrm{Mod}$ is comonadic, then the map*

$$\Gamma_{M^*} : \mathbf{I}_A^l(S_M) \to \mathrm{End}_{B-cor}(\mathfrak{C}_M)$$

that takes $(I, i_I) \in \mathbf{I}_A^r(S_M)$ to the composite

$$M^*\otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\xi_{i_I}^{-1}\otimes_A M} M^*\otimes_A I \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{M^*\otimes_A i_I \otimes_A M} M^*\otimes_A M \otimes_B M^* \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{M^*\otimes_A M^*\otimes_B \varepsilon} M^*\otimes_A M$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

(iii) *If ${}_AM_B$ is a separable bimodule, then the maps Γ_M and Γ_{M^*} are both isomorphisms of monoids.*

From Theorem 4.8 one obtains:

Theorem 4.10. *[7, Theorem 2.5] Let A, B be K-algebras, M be an A-B-bimodule with M_B finitely generated projective.*

(i) *If ${}_AM$ is faithfully flat, then the map Γ_M is an isomorphism of monoids.*

(ii) *If M^*_A is faithfully flat, then the map Γ_{M^*} is an isomorphism of monoids.*

(iii) *If ${}_AM_B$ is a separable bimodule, then the maps Γ_M and Γ_{M^*} are both isomorphisms of monoids.*

Let now A be a ring, which is not assumed to have a unit and let MOD_A denote the category of all (not necessarily unital) right A-modules. Following [22], a right A-module M is said to be *firm* if the map

$$M \otimes_A A \to M, \ m \otimes_A a \to ma$$

is an isomorphism. We write Mod_A for the full subcategory of MOD_A whose objects are all right firm A-modules. In the same way one defines the categories ${}_A\mathrm{MOD}$ and ${}_A\mathrm{Mod}$. An A-B-bimodule is firm if it is firm both as a left A-module and right B-module. A ring A is firm if it is a left (equivalently right) firm A-module. It is well-known that when A is a firm ring, the canonical embedding $i : \mathrm{Mod}_A \to \mathrm{MOD}_A$ has a right adjoint functor $j : \mathrm{MOD}_A \to \mathrm{Mod}_A$ that takes a (right) A-module M to $M \otimes_A A$. An object $M \in \mathrm{MOD}_A$ is said *closed* if the canonical homomorphism $M \to \mathrm{MOD}_A(A, M)$ given by $m \longrightarrow (r \to mr)$ is an isomorphism. For any $M \in \mathrm{MOD}_A$, the right A-module $\mathrm{Mod}_A(A, M)$ is closed and the assignment $M \to \mathrm{Mod}_A(A, M)$ yields a functor $k : \mathrm{Mod}_A \to \mathrm{MOD}_A$ which is right adjoint to the reflection functor j and which identifies the category of right firm A-modules with the category of closed right A-modules. Since the full subcategory of closed right A-modules is a localization of MOD_A, it follows that Mod_A, being equivalent to the category of right closed A-modules, is also abelian. Note that kernels in Mod_A cannot in general be computed in MOD_A.

We write **Firm** for the bicategory whose objects are firm rings, whose 1-arrows are firm bimodules and whose 2-arrows are homomorphism of firm bimodules (see [12]). The horizontal composition is here the opposite of the usual tensor product of bimodules.

Recall that a bicategory $\mathbb{B}$ is *biclosed* if for any 1-cell $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ and any 0-cell $\mathcal{C}$,

$$f \otimes - : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$$

has a right adjoint

$$[f, -] : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$$

and

$$-\otimes f : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})$$

has a right adjoint

$$\{f,-\} : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}).$$

Proposition 4.11. *The bicategory* **Firm** *is biclosed.*

Proof. We have to show that for all firm rings A, B and C and an arbitrary firm A-B-bimodule M, both functors

$$-\otimes_A M :\ {}_C\mathrm{Mod}_A = \mathbf{Firm}(C,A) \to \mathbf{Firm}(C,B) =_C \mathrm{Mod}_B$$

and

$$M \otimes_B - :\ {}_B\mathrm{Mod}_C = \mathbf{Firm}(B,C) \to \mathbf{Firm}(A,C) =_A \mathrm{Mod}_C$$

have a right adjoint.

According to Proposition 2.6 in [12], for arbitrary two firm rings X and Y, the canonical isomorphism of categories $t_{X,Y}\ :\ {}_X\mathrm{MOD}_Y \to \mathrm{MOD}_{X^{\mathrm{op}}\otimes Y}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\overline{t}_{X,Y} :\ {}_X\mathrm{Mod}_Y \to \mathrm{Mod}_{X^{\mathrm{op}}\otimes Y}$. Thus the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}_X\mathrm{Mod}_Y \arrow[r, "\overline{t}_{X,Y}"] \arrow[d, "i"'] & \mathrm{Mod}_{X^{\mathrm{op}}\otimes Y} \arrow[d, "i"] \\
{}_X\mathrm{MOD}_Y \arrow[r, "t_{X,Y}"'] & \mathrm{MOD}_{X^{\mathrm{op}}\otimes Y},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are the canonical embeddings, commutes. Since the functor

$$i : \mathrm{Mod}_{X^{\mathrm{op}}\otimes Y} \to \mathrm{MOD}_{X^{\mathrm{op}}\otimes Y}$$

has a right adjoint

$$j : \mathrm{MOD}_{X^{\mathrm{op}}\otimes Y} \to \mathrm{Mod}_{X^{\mathrm{op}}\otimes Y}$$

that takes $M \in \mathrm{MOD}_{X^{\mathrm{op}}\otimes Y}$ to $M \otimes_{X^{\mathrm{op}}\otimes Y} (X^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes Y)$, the functor

$$i :\ {}_X\mathrm{Mod}_Y \to\ {}_X\mathrm{MOD}_Y$$

also has a right adjoint

$$j :\ {}_X\mathrm{MOD}_Y \to\ {}_X\mathrm{Mod}_Y$$

that takes $M \in_X \mathrm{MOD}_Y$ to $X \otimes M \otimes Y$.

Let now $M \in \mathbf{Firm}(A,B)$, and let C be an arbitrary firm ring. Since the functor

$$-\otimes_A M :\ {}_C\mathrm{MOD}_A \to_C \mathrm{MOD}_B$$

admits as a right adjoint the functor

$$\mathrm{MOD}_B(M,-):\ {}_C\mathrm{MOD}_B \to_C \mathrm{MOD}_A,$$

it follows from the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}_C\mathrm{Mod}_A \arrow[r, "-\otimes_A M"] \arrow[d, "i"'] & {}_C\mathrm{Mod}_B \arrow[d, "i"] \\
{}_C\mathrm{MOD}_A \arrow[r, "-\otimes_A M"'] & {}_C\mathrm{MOD}_B
\end{tikzcd}$$

that the functor

$$-\otimes_A M:\ {}_C\mathrm{Mod}_A \to_C \mathrm{Mod}_B$$

admits as a right adjoint the functor

$$j\cdot \mathrm{MOD}_B(M,-)\cdot i = C\otimes_C \mathrm{Mod}_B(M,-)\otimes_A A.$$

Symmetrically, one shows that the functor

$$B\otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}(M,-)\otimes_C C:\ {}_A\mathrm{Mod}_C \to_B \mathrm{Mod}_C$$

is right adjoint to

$$M\otimes_B -:\ {}_B\mathrm{Mod}_C \to_A \mathrm{Mod}_C.$$

This completes the proof. □

We shall need the following well-known characterization of left adjoint 1-cells in a biclosed bicategory (see, for example, [14]):

Theorem 4.12. *For any 1-cell $f:\mathcal{A}\rightsquigarrow\mathcal{B}$ in a biclosed bicategory $\mathbb{B}$, the following are equivalent:*

(i) *f has a right adjoint f^*;*

(ii) *the morphism $[f,1_{\mathcal{B}}]\otimes f\to[f,f]$ that corresponds under the adjunction*

$$\eta,\varepsilon: f\otimes - \dashv [f,-]:\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B})\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A})$$

to the composite $f\otimes[f,1_{\mathcal{B}}]\otimes f\xrightarrow{\varepsilon_f\otimes f}1_{\mathcal{B}}\otimes f\xrightarrow{\simeq}f$ *, is an isomorphism.*

When these conditions hold, one has $[f,g]\simeq f^*\otimes g$ for all 1-cells $g:\mathcal{C}\rightsquigarrow\mathcal{B}$. In particular, $f^*\simeq[f,1_{\mathcal{B}}]$.

From this general theorem, we deduce:

Theorem 4.13. *For any 1-cell $M : \mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{B}$ in* **Firm***, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $M^\dagger = B \otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B) \otimes_A A$ *is right adjoint to* M *in* **Firm***;*
- (ii) *for any* $X \in \mathbf{Firm}(C, B)$*, the map*

$$X \otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B) \otimes_A A \to \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, X) \otimes_A A$$

$$(x \otimes_B f \otimes_A a) \longrightarrow ((m \to x f(m)) \otimes_A a)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (iii) *the functor* $\mathrm{Mod}_B(M, -) \otimes_A A : \mathrm{Mod}_B \to \mathbf{Ab}$ *preserves all small colimits*

Proof. (i) and (ii) are equivalent by the previous theorem, while (ii) implies (iii) trivially. Now, if the functor $\mathrm{Mod}_B(M, -) \otimes_A A$ preserves all small colimits, then since for any $X \in \mathbf{Firm}(C, B)$, $X \otimes_B B \simeq X$ and since $X \otimes_B B$ can be seen as a small colimt, we have:

$$\mathrm{Mod}_B(M, X) \otimes_A A \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, X \otimes_B B) \otimes_A A \simeq X \otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B) \otimes_A A.$$

Thus (iii) implies (ii). $\square$

Remark 4.14. If ${}_A M_B$ is such that M_B is finitely generated and projective, then it is not hard to see that M has a right adjoint in **Firm**.

Let A and B be firm rings and let M be a firm A-B-bimodule. If M admits a right adjoint in **Firm**, then we know from Theorem 4.13 that its right adjoint $M^\dagger$ is $B \otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B) \otimes_A A$. Then the corresponding A-ring is

$$S_M = M \otimes_B M^\dagger = M \otimes_B B \otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B) \otimes_A A \simeq M \otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B) \otimes_A A,$$

while the corresponding B-coring is

$$\mathfrak{C}_M = M^\dagger \otimes_A M = B \otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B) \otimes_A A \otimes_A M \simeq B \otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B) \otimes_A M.$$

This coring was considered in [10], and it generalizes the (infinite) comatrix corings considered in [9], for A with enough idempotents, and B unital, and in [11], for A firm and B unital.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^\dagger$) denote for a while the firm A–B–bimodule M (resp. the firm B–A–bimodule $M^\dagger$) when considered in the bicategory **Firm**. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4.4, one sees that if the functor

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes - = - \otimes_A M : \mathrm{Mod}_A \to \mathrm{Mod}_B$$

(resp. the functor

$$- \otimes \mathcal{M}^\dagger = M^\dagger \otimes_A - \simeq B \otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B) \otimes_A - : {}_A\mathrm{Mod} \to {}_B\mathrm{Mod})$$

is precomonadic, then the assignment $I \to (I, i_I)$ yields an isomorphism of monoids

$$\mathbf{I}^r_A(S_M)^{op} \simeq \mathbf{I}^l_{\mathbf{Firm}(A,\,A)}(S_{\mathcal{M}})$$

$$(\text{resp. } \mathbf{I}^l_A(S_M)^{op} \simeq \mathbf{I}^r_{\mathbf{Firm}(A,\,A)}(S_{\mathcal{M}})),$$

where $\mathbf{I}^r_A(S_M)$ (resp. $\mathbf{I}^S_A(S_M)$) consists of the firm A–subbimodules of S_M such that the multiplication map $I \otimes_A S_M \to S_M$ (resp. $S_M \otimes_A I \to S_m$) is an isomorphism, which turns out to be a monoid with the product given by the usual multiplication of subsets of S_M.

Applying now Theorems 2.17 and 2.18, and Proposition 3.7, gives:

Theorem 4.15. *Let A, B be firm rings, M be a firm A-B-bimodule that admits a right adjoint in* **Firm**. *Then*

(i) *if the functor $- \otimes_A M : \mathrm{Mod}_A \to \mathrm{Mod}_B$ is comonadic, then the map*

$$\Gamma_M : (\mathbf{I}^r_A(S_M))^{op} \to \mathrm{End}_{B-cor}(\mathfrak{C}_M)$$

is an isomorphism of monoids;

(ii) *if the functor $B \otimes_B \mathrm{Mod}_B(M, B) \otimes_A - : {}_A\mathrm{Mod} \to_B \mathrm{Mod}$ is comonadic, then the map*

$$\Gamma_{M^\dagger} : \mathbf{I}^l_A(S_M) \to \mathrm{End}_{B-cor}(\mathfrak{C}_M)$$

is an isomorphism of monoids.

(iii) *If ${}_AM_B$ is a separable firm bimodule, then the maps Γ_M and $\Gamma_{M^\dagger}$ are both isomorphisms of monoids.*

References

[1] J. Adamek, H. Herrlich and G. E. Strecker, *Abstract and Concrete Categories*, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1990.

[2] M. Barr and C. Wells, *Toposes, Triples, and Theories*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **278**, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[3] J. Beck, Triples, algebras and cohomology, *Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories* **2** (2003), 1–59.

[4] J. Bénabou, *Introduction to bicategories,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 40, (1967)1–77.

[5] F. Borceux and D. Dejean, Cauchy completion in category theory, *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catégoriques* **27** (1986), 133–145.

[6] T. Brzeziński, *Descent cohomology and corings*, Commun. Algebra **36** (2008), 1894-1900.

[7] L. El Kaoutit and J. Gómez-Torrecillas, Comatrix corings and invertible bimodules, *Annali dell'Universitá di Ferrara, S. VII. 51 (2005), 263-280.*

[8] L. El Kaoutit and J. Gómez-Torrecillas, Comatrix corings: Galois corings, descent theory, and a structure theorem for cosemisimple corings, *Math. Z.* **244** (2003), 887–906.

[9] L. El Kaoutit and J. Gómez-Torrecillas, Infinite comatrix corings, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (39), (2004) 2017–2037.

[10] J. Gómez-Torrecillas, Comonads and Galois corings, *Appl. Categ. Structures*, **14** (5-6), (2006) 579–598.

[11] J. Gómez-Torrecillas and J. Vercruysse, Comatrix corings and Galois comodules over firm rings, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **10** (3), (2007) 271–306.

[12] F. Granjean and E. Vitale, Morita equivalence for regular algebras, *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catégoriques* **39** (1998), 137–153.

[13] J. Fisher, The tensor product of functors; satelites; and derived functors, *J. of Algebra* **8** (1968), 277–294.

[14] M. Kelly and V. Schmitt, Notes on enriched categories with colimits of some class, arXiv:math/0509102v1

[15] S. Lack, Icons, *Appl. Categorical Structures* (in press).

[16] S. MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 5, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1971.

[17] A. Masuoka, Corings and invertible bimodules, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **13** (1989), 353–362.

[18] B. Mesablishvili, Monads of effective descent type and comonadicity, *Theory and Applications of Categories* **16** (2006), 1–45.

[19] B. Mesablishvili, Comonadicity and invertible bimodules, *J. of Algebra* **313** (2007), 761–772.

[20] C. Năstăsescu, M. Van den Bergh and F. Van Oystaeyen, Separable functors applied to graded rings, *J. Algebra*, **123** (1989) 397–413.

[21] P. Nuss and M. Wambst, Non-abelian Hopf cohomoly, *J. Algebra*, **312** (2007), 733–754.

[22] D. Quillen, *Module theory over nonunital rings*, Notes, 1997.

[23] M. D. Rafael, Separable functors revisited, *Comm. Algebra*, **18** (5), (1990) 1445–1459.